δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 23, NO. 12 NOVEMBER 1998

The Monica Mess May Force a Cleanup

The ongoing scandal enveloping the White House has produced a number of effects, some healthy and some painful. In the painful department we count the spectacle of the U.S. again becoming an object of ridicule, if not stunned disbelief, in the eyes of the world at large. This nuclear bimbo explosion has left even the most primitive African tribesmen and desert nomads shaking their heads in befuddled wonder at the strange goings-on of white people.

It is deeply embarrassing to watch the galumphing yokel president (and a fellow Southerner of sorts) stagger and reel through the American political scene, fly open to the wind, tongue lolling out of his mouth, unappealing substances plastered hither and yon. A District of Columbia crack addict has more natural dignity and decency than this amazing bounder.

Clinton and his gang have turned out to be even more corrupt, incompetent, treasonous and degenerate than any of us imagined. But all is not doom and gloom.

The Monica debacle has given the American people a priceless opportunity to smoke the enemy out of his lair. The men and women defending Clinton should be marked well. They are the domestic enemy, the alien Fifth Column, the anti-Americans. When the inevitable confrontation develops, we now know who will be in charge of the other side beyond a shadow of a doubt. The incredible statements made in public by these *Untermenschen* will serve us well in the years ahead.

Once Clinton is out of power, either through resignation, impeachment or after having crawled through the sewer pipe of Washington politics to the end of his term in office, the decent men and women in the Dept. of Justice (believe it or not, there are still a few) will take grim pleasure in hauling confederates of an ex-President before the bar of justice to answer for their numerous treasonous acts, felonies and high crimes. Think the scandals thus far are vomitous? Wait till Janet Reno is no longer calling the shots and the hidden Instaurationists in the government (there are more than you think) start flexing their muscles.

As pleasant as it is to contemplate maniacs such as James Carville, Rahm Emanuel, and all the rest behind bars, there is another sobering lesson to be gleaned from all this. It is the state of the American Majority.

I am not a big believer in polls. Almost all major U.S. polling orgs are firmly in the hands of you know who. There is no doubt in my mind that the polls presented to the public as "nonpartisan" and "scientific" are somewhat cooked.

But this is not the point. The point is that, regardless of what the polls say, a large minority of the American Majority continues to support Clinton. That the blacks, Jews and others support him comes as no surprise. That any white could do so is proof of how far gone we are as a people.

Ladies and gentlemen, there is dire and terrible work ahead for all of us. In the kind of conflict we are entering, the first order of business is always to clean up one's own camp. Ours resembles the Augean stables and we are short more than one Hercules. No matter. The work will be done. Let nobody shrink from the task at hand.

N.B. FORREST

The Safety Valve

In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

❑ **It pleases me to hear news commentators use the phrase, "Judeo-Christian." It reveals exactly where many of the ideas and ideals of Christianity originated. I suppose it is too much to hope that someday they will start saying "Judeo-Communist."**

631

❑ **Remember during the last decade when left-of-center pundits asserted that Ronald Reagan was the embodiment of an era of greed, selfishness and materialism? Notice that they have been loath to make the connection between Bill Clinton and an age of decadence, corruption and cupidity?**

785

❑ **I was (am) not alone in the agony of defeat. It is so important that I know that a group of people (no matter how few) are in my belief camp. My compadres, my fellow believers. Instauration has provided me with an education on race, history and philosophy which I could never have gleaned elsewhere.**

111

❑ **We have been told that the Ken Starr investigation is costing too much, something like $40 million. Cruise missiles cost $1.2 million per.**

302

❑ **The U.S. has added 40 million people to the American population in the last 18 years. How many of them do you think are of the mindset of the Founding Fathers?**

130

❑ **The joy I have is that Clinton, who has done more for Jews than anyone, has finally been brought down by a Jewess. I love it!**

668

❑ **Bo Gritz, 59, darling of the Libertarian Party, was found with a self-inflicted gunshot wound in the chest. He supposedly was distraught over his 40-year-old wife (his third) of 24 years filing for divorce. Seems strange to me that someone with his background and war experience dealing with guns couldn't manage to kill himself. One shot to the head should have done it. Is this more of his grandstanding and/or a ploy to get his wife to return to the fold?**

566

❑ **Instauration mentions *Gone With the Wind* from time to time. Both the book and the film are on the saccharin side. Practically forgotten is the source of the title—Ernest Dowson's sterling poem:**

I have forgot much, Cynara! gone with the wind,
Flung roses, roses riotously with the throng,
Dancing, to put thy pale, lost lilies out of mind;
But I was desolate and sick of an old passion,
Yea, all the time, because the dance was long:
I have been faithful to thee, Cynara! in my fashion.

722

❑ **The true path to transcendental bliss is revisionist history. Nothing restores good feeling like doubt and skepticism.**

340

❑ **We're making progress. TV panel discussions with more than four people now require the presence of at least one Gentile.**

355

❑ **Racial/gender quotas? Not if you call it "affirmative action." "Stereotypes" are always impermissible. Slander straight white men as much as you wish, that's not a stereotype but an axiom.**

805

❑ **Some uncomfortable truths are being said about Israel in reaction to our "war against terrorism." CNN Middle East correspondent Peter Bergen, when not shouted down by Jewish pundits, has pointed out that one man's terrorist is another's freedom fighter, that our blank-check backing of Israel is the main reason Muslims hate us. The last thing the Chosen want is for this to get out.**

400

❑ **If Clinton were caught robbing a convenience store, his approval rating among blacks would hit 99%. With Clinton before them as an example, blacks could say that whites are no better than they are.**

113

❑ **Tel Aviv is about 2,300 miles from Nairobi, yet the Israelis were there in a flash to help out after the Cruise missile strikes. A peculiar bond between certain "Americans" and "Jews." Wonder what the Jews will charge for these services?**

250

❑ **Kenneth Starr is married to a Jewish girl from Scarsdale (NY). I bet the Clintonites almost choked on that one. It puts a kind of different light on Ken, doesn't it? One of his half-Jewish children is attending Stanford along with Chelsea. Sticky situation all around.**

255

❑ **The original black and white film *Great Expectations* greatly impressed me as a boy. I was tempted to give up on the recent remake when the dialogue began**

Instauration
is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription
$35 (third class)
$45 (first class)
$48 Canada
$50 foreign (surface)
$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price $3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

CONTENTS

The Safety Valve

with a dozen "f" words. Towards the end the convict says, "I made bullshit money." Just what is that? A lot? A little? A few fine films are made today, but if you want to see how the art of cinema has deteriorated, watch this film.

922

❑ Secretary of State Madeleine Albright justifies our bombing Sudan and Afghanistan citing the right to self-defense in Article 51 of the UN charter. Wonder if it also applies to Palestinians.

558

❑ Everyone has lied at one time or another, but Clinton did it under oath, a distinction the lib-mins ignore. Bill's sex was consensual they say. With Paula Jones? Kathleen Willie?

802

❑ It is not necessary for me to write an original letter to a government official in order to get his attention. Years of experience prove that they respond to photocopied articles from Instauration. This saves me considerable time and allows me to get the word out faster and further.

775

❑ Jews are desperately trying to sell tours to Jerusalem. They need those tourist dollars. If I were a Christian, there are a lot of places I would go before choosing Jerusalem. The Jews, by the way, have about as much claim to the place as I have!

785

❑ Remember when presidential chum Vernon Jordan spoke to the press after his grand jury testimony? His dear friend Bill assured him there was nothing improper in his relationship with Monica. Jordan was only one of the many Bill lied to, but only a couple seemed to mind. He was there to greet Clinton at Martha's Vineyard.

920

❑ In the near future will the phrase "Banned in Canada" attain the same coinage that "Banned in Boston" used to have?

752

❑ Jews expect their input to have equal or greater weight than the Majority's. They flatter themselves with boasts of their brilliance, talent, righteousness and knowledge. However, networking, copying, stealing, self-promotion and the power of the purse have hoisted them to the top of the dung heap called society. They try to shape us into a lesser image of themselves—materialistic, soulless, analytical, evil. We are to feel their pain and neglect our own.

347

❑ So Monica Lewinsky thought she had a chance to be the next Mrs. Clinton! Goes to show you that all Jews aren't smart!

224

❑ The Mark McGwire/Sammy Sosa home-run contest was the only such head to head contest I can recall where the white guy got more attention than the black guy.

424

❑ With impeachment hearings on the "event horizon," it now becomes crystal clear why the Democrats have strategically positioned so many Negroes (5) and Jews (6 or 7) on the House Judiciary Committee.

787

❑ The Holocaust cannot be denied or criticized though Christianity is constantly minimized and besmirched.

711

❑ Modern Israel has not known real peace. Take away U.S. military backing and it will soon know eternal peace.

471

❑ I think Howard Stern should be handed over to members of the Taliban. Only they would know what to do with him.

113

❑ Defenders of Clinton say that having oral sex and lying about it is not an impeachable offense. They believe he should stay in office because he hasn't committed treason or endangered national security. But what do you call allowing the State Dept. to issue an export license for the transfer of top secret satellite technology to China?

311

❑ Two generations ago homosexuality was virtually universally regarded as a perverse personality disorder. Sodomy is still a felony in 14 states, but most opinion makers consider this deplorable bigotry. Incest, polygamy and pedophilia today are still where homosexuality was back then. Who decides these things? Not the people. Who decides when yesterday's perversion becomes tomorrow's alternative lifestyle?

333

❑ *Nation* editor Katrina Van den Huevel explained on TV that Linda Tripp is hated because, "Women dislike women who betray their friends." Is she inferring that women love presidents who betray their wives!

038

❑ Senator Patrick Moynihan, who likes to pretend he is an intellectual, states that "ethnicity" broke up the Soviet Union. I wonder then, why increased ethnic diversity is going to do wonders for us.

855

❑ I'm accustomed to a full-page article on some aspect of the Holocaust every other day in the N.Y. Times. The aftermath of events over 50 years ago rates far more coverage and headlines than many of today's happenings.

100

❑ "The innate genetic superiority of [whites]. . . ." A chain is only as strong as its weakest link. No group has ever excelled as the white race has, but it is all being destroyed by the blind spot of self-destructiveness.

110

❑ Oklahoma recently voted a dead woman into a state primary election run-off. If people can't tell right from wrong about Clinton, how can they be expected to distinguish the living from the dead?

799

❑ Fun to watch the painful, "Yes, but" contortions feminists must go through, defending their hero Bill.

960

NOTICE! BACK ISSUE SALE

A SUBSCRIBER HAS BACK ISSUES OF INSTAURATION IN GOOD CONDITION FROM OCT. 1980 TO DATE—218 ISSUES. ANYONE INTERESTED IN BUYING THE MAGAZINES PLEASE SEND A BID (WHICH MUST INCLUDE $40 FOR POSTAGE & HANDLING) TO HOWARD ALLEN, P.O. BOX 76, CAPE CANAVERAL, FL 32920. NO BIDS ACCEPTED THAT ARE DATED LATER THAN NOVEMBER 30.

Clintongate: A Principled Dissent

What, exactly, is the Majority's stake in Clintongate (to use one of the more antiseptic names for the affair)? At first the answer seems obvious. Here's a President who has truckled to the minorities at every turn, even while dallying and diddling with a variety of women. He has funded his campaigns with foreign lucre, raised illegally. He's proved himself—and more than proved himself—a liar, a cheat, a sneak, you name it. And now his presidency is foundering, even if he isn't impeached.

Majority members who have heeded the "All aboard!" for the Rush Limbaugh express have, in the tumult, lost sight of Clinton's actual offenses. They may think they haven't, but in effect by lowering the focus to the President's sexual torts and private parts, they let Clinton's real crimes and misdemeanors—the ones that he'll probably never have to answer for—slip into oblivion.

America's chief herald and chief executive of its transition to nonwhite status, the fawningest "friend of Israel" who has ever occupied the Oval Office, the most powerful exponent of unchecked non-European immigration in all the land, tireless appointer and promoter of blacks, Hispanics and other unqualified candidates to positions they don't deserve, enthusiastic champion of feminism and gay rights. Clinton has been all of these, and more.

Yet while you may hear disparaging words about "femi-Nazis" from Rush, you won't hear a whisper about the racial issue—which in its various forms and guises is at this point in the Majority's fortunes the only issue—from Limbaugh or any of his media cronies, nor from the Republicans and conservatives they lionize. Many of these would exuberantly replace Clinton and his equally poisonous Vice President by President Colin Powell and Vice President Joe Lieberman, the Orthodox Jewish Senator from Connecticut, who just happens to be a Democrat.

Our next President?

Why, then, given who's driving the anti-Clinton bus, and what their motives are and aren't, do so many of us seem to buy into the fevered anti-Clinton animus?

"Character," comes the noble-minded answer. We must oppose Clinton because—on top of his having sold out what's left of the white race in America over and over again—he has demonstrated bad character by being fellated by a Beverly Hills Jewess in the sanctity of the Oval Office and by pawing job-seeking Virginia matrons.

Sorry, but Moriarty's not buying the argument of Bill Clinton's especially evil character, judged against that of his fellow American politicians. (Strange to say, nobody except his wife seems to be vending publicly the notion of Clinton's good character at the moment.)

Let's look at the several interpretations of Clinton's exceptional moral turpitude currently in vogue. One maintains that Clinton is a habitual liar and sneak—what our Anglophile grandfathers used to call a bounder—as evidenced by his lawyerlike facility in evading military service as a youth, by his claim to have smoked, but not inhaled, marijuana, and his many more recent whoppers. A variant of this theory of Clinton's character deficiency has the President a "psychopath," a victim of "anti-social personality disorder" or whatever the currently approved clinical terminology is for one whose habitual relations with his fellow humans extend no further than cultivating and using them for his personal advantage, lawful or otherwise.

The difficulty with the bounder theory is that it fails signally as to uniqueness. Both major parties are currently populated by a multitude of such officeholders (which senator or congressman wouldn't tell a lie to get reelected?). Deceitfulness, venality and rascality have been proverbial among American politicians from the early days of the Republic. Bill Clinton wouldn't have shocked Mark Twain or H.L. Mencken.

Clinton's all-too-tawdry fumblings and peccadilloes have been inflated to the equivalent of the monstrous sexual delinquencies the noted Roman gossip and biographer, Suetonius, attributed to the emperors Tiberius, Caligula and Nero. One doesn't have to be an admirer of Clinton to wonder, and perhaps to perspire, at how fairly ordinary infidelities and practices have been transmuted into perverse horrors by his detractors. One wonders what became of the catalogue of such horrors as drug rubouts in Arkansas, the Vince Foster killing, the assassination of secret service agents and the opportune death of the black Secretary of Commerce.

For Suetonius his subjects' carnal crimes—incest, rape, buggery, pedophilia and even the murders that he claims often followed in their wake—were only the rotten cherry atop the saturnine sundae of Rome, the fallen republic. To forget Bill Clinton's real crimes, as well as his imaginary ones, is to settle simply for a rotten cherry.

It is not the purpose of this column to defend Clinton or his assembled henchmen and appointees in any way. If you think you have the stomach for that, gaze back through time to envision a slain Nordic chieftain ringed by his fallen thanes, then fast forward to the rat-like exertions of Sidney Blumenthal and James Carville on behalf of their chief. Nor is it my point to enumerate the private and public sins of Clinton's presidential predecessors this century: neither those of the Janus-faced pols who lied us in and out of one deleterious war after another nor those who shoved the ruinous immigration programs down our throats.

Today, for America's dwindling Majority, already a tiny minority among the teeming nonwhite masses Republicans and Democrats are welcoming to our country from abroad, morality means survival, the continuation and flourishing of men and women of our kind, our character, our stamp. That such group survival has often demanded the sacrifice of the individual—of many individuals—confers on it an honor and an honorableness beyond mere biological continuity.

In today's America, character means working and fighting for our kind, for our race. Far more than private sexual deportment, it means manly and womanly virtue, the knowledge and the temper and the will to productive endeavor, courageous engagement, efficacious cunning in the service of racial victory.

Think those are glittering generalities? Try this on for size: Would Washington and his lieutenants have rummaged through Benedict Arnold's knickers to make a "real" case (say, for onanism) against him?

MORIARTY

Nonsensical Education

In the early days of its existence, the NAACP was thought of as a racist organization by the black masses of America. Only lighter-skinned blacks were encouraged to agitate. Dark-skinned blacks were thought of as racially inferior, so much so that Thurgood Marshall, who later would become the first black Supreme Court Justice, once remarked derisively that the NAACP was the National Association for the Advancement of CERTAIN People.

In the 1920s the NAACP began its long fight against the "separate but equal" doctrine of racial separation that was based on the Supreme Court (*Plessey*) decision. The initial impetus on race was aimed not at integrating public schools, but only to obtain an equal distribution of public school funding. By the 1950s, however, the NAACP was openly pushing for racial integration of public schools. In 1953, Supreme Court Justice Fred Vinson died and Earl Warren moved to the High Bench, a man whose background included membership in California's racialist Native Sons of the Golden West. Warren joined with Supreme Court Justice Hugo Black, a man who had been a long-time Klan member, to ram through the Supreme Court a decision in favor of public school integration by insisting that any Supreme Court justice who really believed that blacks were racially inferior should be willing to stand up and debate the issue openly. When none of the right-wing Supreme Court justices would stand by their previous convictions, "separate-but-equal" public schooling fell 9 to 0.

Today, almost a half-century after that pivotal decision, the effects of racially integrating the nation's public school systems are obvious to all. Educational quality has fallen to abysmal levels. The white

The late Justice Hugo Black, ex-Klansman

American upper class happily sends its children to private academies. The white middle class struggles to do the same. The white proletariat, unable to make the tuition payments that now often surpass the costs of a college education, finds itself trapped in a system of public school education that is laughable even by Third World standards.

In effect the debate over "separate but equal" has shifted from the matter of school quality to the quality of the races themselves. If minorities are racially equal to whites, why has the public school system slipped so badly after their inclusion? Liberals, of course, will argue forever that the "minority deficit" is a legacy of the bad old "separate but equal" doctrine as it was carried out in the old South. Liberals insisted that test scores and classroom deportment had nothing to do with genes.

Meanwhile more and more thoughtful whites are asking if that line of reasoning does not really miss the important sociological issue—that for whatever reason inclusion of minorities into all-white schools has been a disaster. Does it really matter that biological proof of minority genetic inferiority is hard to come by when empirical evidence of minority failure is everywhere to be seen? Does it really matter, as liberals like to argue, that white racialists of long ago might have been mistaken in establishing institutions that separated blacks from whites when such efforts to remake our society are leading to its destruction?

I.H.

Which Holocaust?

People talk about "the Holocaust" as if there had been only one, and that one unique in human history. This century actually witnessed two Holocausts: Hitler's, wherein Jews were the most prominent victims, and the horror inflicted by Stalin on Ukraine. Although the latter killed many more people than Hitler's assault on the Jews, most people have never heard of it. How could they have, when only the Nazi Holocaust is taught in our schools and constantly featured in the media? Could this be because the media are heavily influenced by people who have seen much to gain by promoting Nazi crimes? Is it merely accidental that obsessive promotion of the one has obscured the greater bloodbath of the other?

Whatever the reasons for the disparity between the two tragedies, surely it's time to right the balance. What historian Alfred Lilienthal labeled 20 years ago as "Holocaustamania" still continues in a torrent of books, movies and other mementos of the Jewish experience under Hitler, leading some Israelis offended by this exploitation to quip, "There's no business like Shoah business." Nothing of this kind has occurred in the Ukrainian case, although it is very well documented. How quickly the world forgets victims of even the most colossal evildoing when a well-funded lobby is not there to keep the memories green! (Perhaps the best remedy would be to ask of anyone bringing up "the Holocaust": "Which one are we talking about—Hitler's or Stalin's?"

Only a great novelist could make those murdered millions of Ukrainians rise and walk before us, make us feel the shame and despair of a people deliberately reduced to feeding on grass and bark, on diseased horses, even the bodies of their own children! Vasilii Grossman's revelatory book, *Forever Flowing,* goes some way towards probing the tortured souls of the oppressed. Others can only recite the bare facts of what happened and who was responsible. The first thing to be grasped about the Ukrainian Holocaust—the greatest single crime of our century—is that it arose within a system that was profoundly evil.

Lenin had declared at the outset:

> The scientific concept of dictatorship means nothing more or less than unrestricted power, resting directly on the use of force. . . .Yes, the dictatorship of one Party!

For the Community Party's rule to be absolute, people had to be made utterly dependent on the State. Private property was abolished, along with religion and nationalism. Only one loyalty was permitted—loyalty to the Party, which later became loyalty to the deified Stalin. All means of coercion towards this end were approved; all objections regarded as treasonous; all decent motives dismissed as "obsolete bourgeois morality." Like gangsters, the leaders lived in fear of each other, watching like wolves for a sign of weakness, ever conscious that a slip could send them to the torture chamber, the firing squad or the Gulag. There was nobody his minions feared more than Stalin himself, who loved to scare and humiliate even his closest associates. They would do or suffer anything, perform any outrage, rather than incur his displeasure. (When he sent Molotov's Jewish wife to the Gulag merely because she had met Israeli Ambassador Golda Meir—

Molotov's loyalty to Stalin superseded his love for his wife

whose welcome by Moscow's Jewish community had eclipsed any ovation Stalin had ever received—did Molotov assault the Great Leader or even protest? He kept his mouth shut and survived.)

By abolishing individual freedom, the Bolsheviks aimed to sink the entire population of the Soviet Union into "the faceless horde of the proletariat." All opposition was ruthlessly eliminated. When his Leningrad police chief, Moysey Uritsky, was assassinated, Lenin had 500 people shot. His remark about "purging the Russian land of all kinds of harmful insects" illustrates the contempt of Bolshevik leaders for the people they pretended to serve, as does Stalin's comment on the terrible suffering of the Ukrainians: "Moscow has no tears." Andrei Vyshinsky, notorious for his venomous conduct of the Moscow Show Trials, told a diplomat in 1941 that Russians were a dull and dirty people fit only as raw material for dictatorship. "That's why I'm such a fervent admirer of Stalin and his system," he explained. Vyshinsky was licensed to loot Latvia, then made Foreign Minister, dying at the UN in 1954.

"How Could They Do It?"

Some years ago Solzhenitsyn complained that none of the Bolshevik monsters such as Molotov and Kaganovich,

then still living comfortably in Moscow, had ever been tried for their crimes against humanity, though some 86,000 Nazi criminals had been convicted by 1966. "Why is Germany allowed to punish its evildoers while Russia is not?" he wanted to know. Some of those guilty commissars were educated men, people of the professional class, as many of Himmler's *Einsatzaruppen* officers also were. Why did their crimes not trouble them? Solzhenitsyn remarked, "The imagination and the spiritual strength of Shakespeare's evildoers stopped short at a dozen corpses because they had no ideology." The great Russian writer defined ideology as any theory which justifies the evildoer, making bad acts seem good, whether in the Gulag or the Spanish Inquisition. Though often used merely as an excuse for what sadists wish to do, ideology may indeed work to suppress empathy by lumping masses of people under labels. This turns them into abstractions, into the faceless "enemy." The totalitarian mindset habitually conceives its victims as being less than human so that it may treat them so. Whether the supposed *Untermenschen* be Slavs, Jews or Palestinians, the process is always the same. Concentration camps are born of it. The barbed wire rose in Hitler's eye long years before it scarred the ground of Belsen or Buchenwald!

Why was Kaganovich never tried for war crimes?

Vyshinsky's admiration for Stalin knew no bounds

There is a frightening emptiness here, as frightening as the tainted wind blowing through those empty places in Ukraine. When a dictator finally has it all, what shall he do with it? What worth has a State whose individuals have none themselves? Whoever thinks that menace ended years ago should heed the words addressed by KGB deputy Viktor Abakumov to SMERSH officers in Vienna, 1946:

> The British and Americans still dream of lasting peace and building a democratic world for all men. They don't seem to realize that we are the ones who are going to build a new world, and that we shall do it without their liberal-democratic recipes. . . ."

Anyone who has read Victor Ostrovsky on the murderous international intrigues of Mossad cannot help seeing a parallel with SMERSH, cannot help suspecting that the only difference between a Sverdlov and a Shamir may be the former's swapping Moses for Marx! What was done to Ukraine is now on a smaller scale being done to Iraq, where "once again democratic governments cooperate. . . in suppressing news about a genocide." The deaths of half a million Iraqi children from hunger and disease due to the continuing embargo on a defeated enemy suggest that the vengeful spirit of Bolshevism lives on.

The story of the Ukrainian Holocaust has to be told. If it is now too late to try the chief evildoers, their crimes should at least be remembered. For the ghosts of all those people murdered six decades ago are with us still, begging for recognition. Their most fitting epitaph may be the cruel comment of the commissar responsible for sending 50,000 "loyal" urban Communists into the Ukrainian countryside with orders to strip villages of any food they might have left. Mendel Khatayevich told the Party faithful, "Throw your bourgeois humanitarianism out of the window; act like Bolsheviks worthy of Comrade Stalin!"

Sverdlov was a powerful presence in the Bolshevik Revolution

When the deed was done, Khatayevich said of his victory over starving peasants, "It took a famine to show who's master here."

PETER J. LORDEN

Note: The Ukrainian Holocaust is grippingly described in Ingrid Rimland's trilogy, Lebensraum!, *which was reviewed in the September 1998 Instauration, and which has been banned in Canada as "hate propaganda."*

Churchill's Role

Conrad Black, the Canadian mediacrat, speaks well of Winston Churchill (Citizen, Sept. 12, 1997). In so doing, however, he missed the opportunity to reveal something of Churchill's capacity for evil which, in retrospect, far outweighed his better-known eloquence, erudition and statesmanship.

Widely proclaimed by Allied propagandists and Establishment Historians as the savior of Britain in her "darkest hour," in reality Churchill, spurred on by megalomania and by his secret anti-German financial backers, was the architect of her destruction as a world power. Although he saw communism as the major threat to Western civilization, in the late 30s he emerged as a prominent member of the "War Party," instigating war against Germany at the behest of a powerful lobby seeking to avenge dispossessed German Jewry. In pursuing its goal, the lobby pressed for the abdication of Edward VIII. (They described Wallis Simpson, for whom Edward gave up his throne, as a "Godsend.") Then, with the cooperation of the media, the warmongering lobbyists thwarted Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain's peace efforts, which they described as appeasement.



When Churchill came to power, he was hailed as a hero, especially in sections of London hit by the Blitz, where "Good Old Winnie" would instill courage in survivors (in the full knowledge that he had invited the attacks by bombing civilian targets in Germany after rejecting a German proposal to ban such practices). Britons and others, including this writer, were inspired by Churchill's example and rallied to the cause which at the time seemed worth dying for.

Indeed, there was a good case for war in 1939, but the true enemy, as Churchill knew better than most, was the Soviet Union, not Germany. Such was the influence of the anti-German lobby that instead of condemning Stalin for his attack on Finland, for his murder of six million Ukrainians, for his occupation of the Baltic States and for his invasion of Poland, Britain perversely declared war on Christian, anti-Communist Germany, her natural ally, and ignored subsequent magnanimous German proposals for an honorable peace. In so doing, Churchill ensured the survival and aggrandizement of the genocidal Stalin, set the stage for the establishment of a Zionist state in the Middle East and planted the seeds of WWIII.

Churchill, for all his venality, duplicity and military ineptitude, surely was fully aware of the implications of his anti-German policy. Consequently he is one of the most reprehensible figures ever to appear on the world stage. In 1940, in choosing war when a rewarding peace was still possible, Churchill condemned to death millions of soldiers and civilians who would perish in the futile struggle with our German kinfolk. He is, by any measurable standard, a war criminal and objectively, among political figures, a despicable betrayer of his own country.

Had Churchill not lent his prestige and talent to Germany's enemies, there would have been no WWII (except for a relatively short campaign to overthrow the hated Stalin). Tens of millions of lives would have been spared; priceless European architecture would not have been destroyed; continental Europe would have been united under German leadership; communism would have become a footnote in history; trillions of dollars and man hours could have been redirected towards the betterment of mankind; the subjugation of Eastern Europe and the Cold War would have been forestalled (as would the Korean and Vietnamese wars and countless Communist-inspired revolutions elsewhere). Six Million or more Jews would have been safely and happily ensconced in Madagascar. Peace and justice would have prevailed in the Middle East. China would have evolved along capitalist Taiwanese lines. There would be no UN meddling, no Third World turmoil, no "refugee" migrations, no deprivation of freedoms in the name of "human rights," no "lost" generations and, above all, no subversion and corruption of Western society by a cunning and treacherous alien minority.

As Conrad Black has indicted, there is a time for eulogy and diplomacy, and a time for comforting self-deception. There is also a time for candor. Fifty years after the event is not too soon to acknowledge that WWII did not end in victory for Western civilization, but in a stunning defeat that can only hasten the Apocalypse. More than any other man, Winston Churchill is responsible.

CANADIAN SUBSCRIBER

MYTHBUSTERS

The Libertarian Fifth Column

The Libertarian Party poses as an alternative to the evils of Big Government. But it is no such thing. At best, Libertarians are sophomoric eggheads, with little or no comprehension of politics or human psychology. At worst, they serve as a mock opposition to the liberals and leftists, who are serious about getting power and using it.

On the issues that really count, such as race, ethnic identity, national self-determination and culture, Libertarians hold the same negative opinions as liberals and leftists. What is positive about Libertarians is that most are willing to tolerate "prejudice" or other "personal quirks," whereas their opponents demand the relentless use of police-state tactics to enforce "equality." Which is worse, worthless friends or determined enemies?

Libertarians have bought into the Marxist idea of "economic man," which has never been anything but a tactic for the left. The left wants power and will do anything to get it. Libertarians are too wimpish to do more than whine.

The left always has a vast agenda of "problems" that need to be "solved" by Big Government. It is no accident that 90% of these problems were caused by Big Government in the first place. No matter what the issue, for the left more government is the answer.

For the Libertarians, the gentle anarchists of the right, less government is always the answer. They characterize leftists as "misguided idealists," whereas the truth is that they are power-crazed psychotics. Every dippy little pinko professor is a Stalin in his heart, even if the sight of blood makes him ill.

Libertarians worship money, but most of them are quite unfamiliar with the green stuff. Many are eggheads in universities. The more successful ones are writers, like Harry Browne, the presidential candidate of the Libertarian Party. Browne has done well for himself financially, but he is not in the same league as Ross Perot, Donald Trump or Bill Gates.

The superrich have never been supporters of the Libertarian cause or enthusiastic readers of Ayn Rand. For billionaires Big Government is the medium for making huge amounts of money. So they give half to the IRS. So what? If somebody or something, even the government, sets up a deal where you can make $10 million or even $100 million with no risk and little effort, wouldn't you be willing to give back half?

The truly wealthy believe that an honest politician is one who, after being bribed, stays bribed. Otherwise he turns into a blackmailer, which is unethical, even for financial scammers. To a Libertarian a politician is merely a third-rate lawyer who can't get a decent job. In some cases this is true. But a truly great politician is a master criminal who commits robbery and murder in the name of the law for the good of all humanity.

The real Professor Moriarty (not Instauration's columnist) became a barrister, not an academic, and ran for Parliament rather than organize all the petty thieves in London. In the U.S., even Sicilian Americans after a generation or two moved on to business, law, government and university positions. Today's typical American gangster is likely to be a Jamaican, a Chinese, even a Nigerian.

Why pick on the Libertarians? Well, Harry Browne has just released a new and minimally revised edition of his book, *How I Found Freedom in an Unfree World* (Liam Works Publishing, P.O. Box 2165, Great Falls, MT 59403-2165, $23.85).

Harry Browne has done nothing like the title suggests. He discovered it was possible to avoid many duties and responsibilities, but the average "street-smart" black thug knows how to do the same. He don't need no honky scribbler to tell him dat. Browne and the Libertarians haven't a clue as to how to stop the march to the New World Order, a new kind of fascism, Brazil's peculiar sort of antiwhite racism.

Libertarianism is not about freedom and individuality; it is about collective suicide. Its pathological "individualism" leaves one a helpless victim of every organized special interest in the world, even one as ludicrous as the NAACP. People without any ethnic, racial or national loyalty are doomed to extinction. You don't have to be another Darwin to understand that.

As a person, Harry Browne is charming, witty and clever—every hostess's dream guest for a cocktail party. But it is difficult for someone to be a leader when he is a laid-back anarchist, content to throw verbal barbs rather than bombs. But after we see what kind of scum the major parties dredge up from the bottom of the political cesspool for the next presidential election, we may well vote for Harry Browne or whomever the Libertarian Party runs. He is at least a decent fellow and it is no crime to be silly.

As the Third Millennium approaches, it is apparent that the future belongs neither to Individual Rights nor Big Government. It is not that Caesar has crossed the Rubicon, but that José Cañusí and millions of his fellow wetbacks have crossed the Rio Grande. The 21st century will be the Age of. . . .

The above article, slightly edited and partially condensed, was published in the April issue of Mythbusters, the world's most cynical newsletter. Subscription is $35 per year (12 issues). Write Mythbusters, P.O. Box 3639, Gaithersburg, MD 20885.

Saving Public Myths

Saving Private Ryan, Steven Spielberg's latest cinematic entry in the canon of Hollywood "history," is not a Holocaust film. It's about combat on the Western front in WWII and the alleged honor and compassion of the U.S. government.

Spielberg would have us believe that after 54 years, the Allied myths about WWII continue to hold true. Studs Terkel's pivotal reference point—"The Good War"—is confirmed. There are good wars, by golly, and WWII was it. Hip, hip, hooray!

Don't look for shades of moral gray or the existential self-doubt that attends retrospective accounts of Korea and Vietnam. Those were bad wars (we were fighting communism) and American vets are supposed to grieve in a fit of collective nervous breakdown for even having participated in them.

So how does Spielberg go about celebrating the "values" of the "Good War" in a time of slackers, grunge and Generation X? He plays on the heart-strings of the same type of naive draftees who marched to Omaha Beach in the first place, the heartland kids who, in 1998, are desperately weary of the sickness afflicting America and who want heroes and something to believe in again.

Spielberg imagines he has the antidote to our ennui. Hollywood is always willing to wave its celluloid wand of approbation over the killing fields of the Gulf War and WWII because the enemies of Zionism were "our" enemies in those conflicts.

Patriotism, bravado and faith in army generals are conditionally legitimate here, whereas in Korea and Vietnam such attributes among America's fighting men were just shy of a war crime.

After a brief preface at an Allied cemetery, *Saving Private Ryan* opens with the U.S. infantry landing on the blood-soaked beaches of Normandy, where those "German SOBs" actually had the gall to shoot at the invading Americans!

The nearly psychedelic scenes of gore and carnage—perhaps the most thrilling and beguiling ever staged—will surely hook a mass audience. The premise of the film is a huge slice from the dusty dish of "Capra-corn" (after pro-Soviet sentimentalist Frank Capra). It seems that Uncle Sam cares about his troops.

No less a figure of "sterling manhood" than FDR's General George C. Marshall takes a personal interest in Private Ryan, the sole survivor among four brothers who marched off to make the world safe for communism.

Marshall touchingly recites by heart the words of that other champion killer of white men—Abe Lincoln—to set the sentimental stage for a search-and-rescue operation for the surviving Private Ryan—a parachutist who landed off course in enemy-occupied France.

A special team of Army rangers is dispatched. The team is deliberately comprised of one of those multiethnic American units that were staples of B-movies and Marvel comic books. There's a timid egghead, a dumb Italian, a pushy Jew, a surly Yank from Brooklyn and a Sgt. York type from the South.



Spielberg's latest—awash in blood and gore

The Jewish trooper waves his Star of David necklace at German POWs and taunts them with shouts of "Juden, Juden." This is the only hint of the underlying conflict in the film. But there are no depictions of any husky German grunt spitting on the necklace. There is no sense that a Holocaust is transpiring a few thousand miles eastward in Poland.

Why Spielberg didn't hit this angle harder is anyone's guess. It's my hunch he intuits how weary American audiences are of Holocaust themes. He chose to advance his agenda by less transparent means.

One of these is the suggestion that the Wehrmacht—mostly conscripts, if we recall our history—are practically war criminals just for fighting the Americans.

Spielberg telegraphs an unambiguous message about the necessity of shooting unarmed German POWs and how foolish it is to spare them. The Jewish soldier eventually dies as a result of his captain having failed to authorize the murder of a German POW.

One of the most compelling figures in the film is Jackson, the Sgt. York character who's a rabid German-hater. When a POW speaks to him in German, he erupts in a rage, screaming, "Shut that filthy pig Latin!"

Pig Latin? Is Spielberg mocking the presumed ignorance of the servants of the New World Order? German being the language of philosophy and rocketry, among other stellar Teutonic achievements, Spielberg would seem to be both applauding and mocking the anti-German bigotry of this "hick," who mutters a psalm every time he blasts any German who gets in his sniper rifle's sights.

How the Germans ever conquered Europe and North Africa and fought the Red Army to the gates of Moscow is certainly a mystery, if one credits their portrayal in *Saving Private Ryan.*

They fight with basic soldierly resolve only as long as they have the advantage—a fortified pill box, a machine-gun nest or a Tiger tank. But as soon as the tide turns, the German soldiers toss their arms up in surrender and jabber in hysterical fear and pleading.

The Germans fight with the same wooden stupidity as did the extras on the set of the old 1960s TV series, *Combat.* Whenever they're in American sights they get hit and drop, whereas, once off the beach, Americans can run in front of a legion of German rifles and dodge bullets with miraculous invulnerability.

There is just one swastika visible in the film, a graffito painted on the Atlantic Wall. Even an SS tank commander appears sans monocle and armband. Spielberg obviously sought to avoid hyperbole and schlock.

He makes his anti-German point with a much lighter touch, but he makes it all the better by resorting to a near-subliminal technique. It's simple, really, an old trick from the propaganda manual. He endears us to the American troops by showing them griping and complaining, joking, sobbing and gambling.

We share their life stories and their jests. We "bond" with them. They are not robots. They gripe about "Fubar," an acronym for an expletive for U.S. government incompetence and high command absurdity. The government is incompetent even in its great compassion and goodness—a concession to combat infantry "realism."

The Germans are mere ciphers. Never does Spielberg take us to their campfire to hear their songs and stories. We almost never glimpse their humanity. No German words are ever translated into subtitles. German becomes an unintelligible clamor—a "pig Latin." We are glad whenever the German boys die and Roosevelt's troops prevail.

The closest Spielberg comes to humanizing the German troops is in a brief standoff between an American and a German, when they both run out of ammo and hurl their helmets at each other; and in a quick flash of a German soldier making a hurried gesture resembling the Catholic sign of the cross. Blink and you'll miss it.

In a nearly three-hour film, those 15 seconds do not counter-balance the strawmen Spielberg has fashioned. He has shown even these skimpy scenes only to make his point more convincingly. Yes, he grudgingly seems to be saying in these snippets, the Germans are sort of human, but not anywhere on a par with the noble and lovable Americans.

This would not wash in a 1990s war film about Korea or Vietnam. Asian soldiers would have to be painted in the full strokes of their humanity or the filmmaker would risk charges of racism. Germans? A bunch of "krauts."

Spielberg's defenders will claim he humanized them in a scene with a German POW who babbles about "Betty Boop" and "Steamboat Willie." But his mutterings are grotesque, not poignant. This is not a means for humanizing Germans. It's a demonstration of how supposedly weak and disgusting the German soldier—the "Hitlerian superman"—really is. Once he's disarmed, his behavior becomes perilously close to that of a coward.

There's not a single good German in *Saving Private Ryan,* just as every single one of the hundreds of German soldiers depicted in Spielberg's *Schindler's List* were, to a man, nothing but homicidal robots.

Saving Private Ryan is a whitewash of the ignominious record of George C. Marshall and a celebration of senseless fratricide and jingoism. This warmongering emanates from that compassionate paragon of humanitarianism—that bearded and bespectacled teddy-bear—Steven Spielberg, "repository of warmth and wisdom."

Sweet dreams, kiddies. Sooner or later it will be your turn to die for the New World Order in another Glorious Crusade Against Tyranny. The killing fields await another generation of American manhood, prepped and primed by the latest Hollywood enchantment.

Prepare the prosthetics and wheelchairs, puff up the pillows in the Veterans' hospitals, speed up production at the body-bag factories, the U.S. World Police Force Inc. is on a "patriotic" roll—across the technicolor screen and around the world.

MICHAEL A. HOFFMAN II

The above article appeared in the journal, Revisionist History, August-September 1998. The address is Box 849, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83816. Six issues, $30. Single copy, $6.50.

AMERICA, CHAPTER 7

You're all spent out, you sap, you're through.
It's over, done, turn the screw.
The bounty's squandered, each day less
For stuffing gullets, you gross mess.
You blew it all. The family gold
Is down the hole; everything's sold.
It had a price, and you, poor fool
Paid it in full. Yeah, everything's cool
Like death that stalks the party sites
To put "debt paid" to the sybarites.
Your good times gone, you prancing clown,
And dying you will drag us down
To the black hole, the bottom where
As at our birth bombs burst in air.
Such beauty in that golden flame
Fed by your flesh, creep without name.
The dead cannot be seared by fires
But souls grow strong near funeral pyres:

Come resurrection, fire and flood!
Some shapes we'll hold, but with new blood!

V.O.

A Taylor-made Majority coup

The Third American Renaissance Conference

It's not often these days that active partisans of America's shrinking Majority gather in any kind of numbers to consider the future of their race. Rarer still are occasions when such a gathering is sober, thoughtful and inspiriting in the face of threatened disruption. That the Third American Renaissance Conference was all that and more is a testimony to the leadership of Jared Taylor.

Some 200 men and women attended the conference at the Dulles Hilton in Herndon (VA) on August 28-30. The gathering had as its formal theme the question: "Why Is Race the Problem That Will Not Go Away?" The conference amply answered that question, and its speakers and audience moved beyond it to address and consider the questions of how America's racial problem came to its present pass, and what the future holds for the Majority in the nation it founded and built.

Righteous Chosenite Levin

As has been almost a trademark of these conferences, the speakers were a mix of scientists and humanists, academics and activists. Their differing approaches to the problem of race and racial differences had character and thrust enough to provide counterpoint and drama, rather than the monotone heard too frequently at racial and other "cause" meetings.

Taylor and nationally syndicated columnist Samuel Francis dealt predominantly with America's past. Each stressed that America was conceived as a nation of, for and by whites. Francis, a winner of two national journalism awards, argued cogently that the American population of free men and women is the nation's actual "constitution." Taylor, mindful of the many affirmations of America's white character by its leaders, warned the audience that such confidence, now more than ever, is needed in securing a future for our progeny.

Righteous Chosenite Paul Gottfried, an historian and classicist who teaches at Elisabethtown College (PA), defended the conduct of WASPs in American and European history. He argüed it is WASP openness and predisposition to "guilt" that has made the Majority an easy target of liberal-minority spitefulness.

The assignment of guilt and blame—whether our own or others'—for the circumstances in which the Majority now finds itself was much on the minds of conferees, to judge from the questions put to the speakers. While a defensible concern, unchecked it could lead to a subjectivity and emotionalism worthy of the Promise Keepers.

Professors Philippe Rushton, Glayde Whitney and Righteous Chosenite Michael Levin, each in his own way, reminded the conference that racial characteristics were, like everything else in nature, relative, but neither friend nor foe can argue them away or circumvent them. Our racial loyalties and our antipathies are rooted in who we are and where we have come from. Their objectivity in considering race in general and their cheerful optimism in estimating the Majority's prospects of standing up for its self-preservation were, in this observer's estimation, easily worth the price of admission. That's not to say that the other speakers were much outclassed. Michael Walker, the brachycephalic British expatriate who edits the Scorpion journal in Hamburg, gave a topflight banquet talk on the European racial-nationalist right. Steven Barry, a recently retired U.S. Army Special Forces NCO, provided an elite fighting man's perspective on the racial and sexual subversion of America's armed forces. Frank Borzellieri, the New York school board member, recounted what happens to a Zoo City officeholder who dared to say publicly that America was founded as a "white, Anglo-Saxon Protestant country." Atlanta attorney and longtime Majority activist Sam Dickson gave a rousing exordium that looked back to our race's ingrained rebelliousness as a harbinger of future victory.

Taylor has been both praised and excoriated for reaching out to a few American Jews. Whatever their motives, they were welcomed at the conference. One Jewish attendee, declaredly not a partisan of the Majority, Professor Andrew Hacker, was inspired enough during the conference to denounce laws against free speech for European racial nationalists and revisionists.

The conferees, who included such Majority marquee names as David Duke, such Old Right names as Regnery and American Opinion's Susan Huck, were an overwhelmingly intelligent, civil and decorous lot—scarcely any of them boring for all that. The threatened demonstration, which troubled the Hilton's staff far more than the conference, materialized into nothing more than five tatterdemalion protesters exiled to a distant parking lot.

The conference might have benefited from a somewhat broader reading of the current American Majority than "Anglo-Saxon Protestant" to judge from the numerous non-Anglos and non-Protestants attending. Its generally Victorian ethos might have grated on a few.

Lest the above quasi-quibbles mislead, the Third American Renaissance Conference was as substantive, as ambitious and as important a Majority gathering this veteran of over 20 years of such convocations has ever attended. Taylor and his American Renaissance boosters deserve a round of applause.

ZIP 070

Under new management

The Anglo-American Establishment

The best foreign policy of any nation is based on the sound principle and admonition that a nation state has no permanent friends, only permanent interests. The adage can only be breached at great risk to the transgressor. The U. S. has taken the risk twice, once very successfully and once with disastrous results. "Special relationships" have in the past century been firmly established between the U.S. and Britain, in other words, the English-speaking world, and between the U.S. and Israel, in other words, world Jewry.

In America's "golden age," the period of the founding fathers to the mid-19th century, the U.S. fought the Revolutionary War and the War of 1812 against the British to establish the country's independence and rid it of foreign entanglements. The Monroe Doctrine of 1823 codified U.S. foreign policy.

By the end of America's "gilded age," however, at about the time of WWI, the U.S., together with Britain's colonies, was again seemingly at the service of the Crown, ostensibly defending British interests on the Continent and "making the world safe for democracy." The bargain was sealed in WWII, "the war to end all wars," when the U.S. became the acknowledged heir to the British Empire and its worldwide interests. Equally importantly, we inherited with the English-speaking world, British culture and history. This special relationship, referred to by scholars as the Anglo-American Establishment, has resulted in the U.S. becoming the preeminent world power and English the world's lingua franca.

Concurrent with the re-establishment of the language and blood ties with England, which is to say, from about the time of WWI, the U.S. was already forming closer and closer ties with the world's Jewish communities. Analogous to the British colonies, the Jews of the diaspora had also established colonies and considerable influence in most of the world's richest countries. Jewish clout was dominant in both the Roosevelt administration and the Stalin dictatorship (as today in the Clinton and Yeltsin administrations), but also in countries like Argentina, South Africa, Britain and France.

On the materialistic plane, the gradual absorption of Jews into the Anglo-American Establishment seemed to be mutually advantageous. Jewish international connections and financial acumen, combined with Jewish intellectual and professional talents, nicely complemented the mercantilistic Anglo-American interests, or so it was thought. Jewish management of the media in the English-speaking world, the Fourth Estate, including the motion picture and TV industries, helped create a worldwide audience for the English-speaking world.

As long as the Jewish component supported, complemented and furthered Anglo-American ambitions and policies, the tripartite alliance seemed to work. Difficulties arose only when it became apparent that the Jews were not content to remain supporters of Gentile interests to the neglect of specifically Jewish interests. Jews had an agenda of their own. Soon—whether by superior intellect, determination, aggressiveness or networking—they occupied a sufficient number of the key commanding positions in the Establishment to implement their own goals at the expense of American interests. I refer here, of course, to the embarrassing American slavish pandering to Israel.

Jewish prominence in the U.S. government and in the management of U.S. foreign policy attests to their dominating influence, if not total capture, of our governmental institutions. It is estimated that more than half of all American governmental foreign and financial policies, efforts, energies and time are devoted to serving Jewish interests. The people in charge of American negotiations in the so-called peace process are all Jews or part-Jews: the belligerent Lady Secretary of State, the erstwhile Senator, now Secretary of Defense, and the stammering National Security Advisor (not to mention other Cabinet positions). When these people talk it is more like the Grand Sanhedrin or the Elders of Zion addressing co-religionists rather than fellow Americans discussing matters of national interest.

The most recent missile strikes against Khartoum and the camps south of Kabul extended hostilities beyond the Near East into distant Islamic countries. In the first instance, the strikes were said to have been made in retaliation for the bombings of our embassies in Tanzania and Kenya, which may well be true. But were not the terrorist bombings themselves motivated by America's eternally blind support of Israel? Would not the entire Near East be a much calmer area today if America and France had not assisted Israel in building nuclear weapons in the first place? Without the threat of Israeli weapons of mass destruction, the surrounding Arab countries would have had little or no justification or need for wanting to acquire these horrendous weapons. We could at any time have chosen to remove Dimona, Israel's nuclear factory, with one of those famed surgical strikes, with minimal collateral damage. Couldn't we at least have an even-handed policy towards Israel and thereby restore our friendly relations with the Islamic world?

Control of the Anglo-American Establishment should be restored to those who place the interests of the American and British nations first and removed from those with narrow, parochial, ethnocentric interests.

200

Oh, Those Pesky Ten Percenters

The movie *Goldfinger* debuted in 1964 when I was 14. Given the times, the relatively racy nature of the material and my tender years, the film was something of an eye-opener. I remember a school buddy commenting that the female pilots in Pussy Galore's flying circus were obviously lesbians. I nodded understandingly but couldn't bring myself to be so naive as to ask, "What's a lesbian?"

I don't think too many teenagers would have that problem today. They may not know the capital of North Dakota, how to diagram a sentence or who represents them in Congress, but they do know what a lesbian is.

This acknowledgment of homosexuality, if not always the embrace of it, is one of the key social changes of the second half of the 20th century. Love it or loathe it, you cannot ignore it.

This is the gist of *The Homosexuality of America*, a work by queer socialist/activist Dennis Altman (Beacon Press, Boston, 1982). That the book is 16 years old gives it a more prophetic tone than a contemporary work. The author predicted that the election of Ronald Reagan—supposedly a harbinger of a return to traditional family values—would have no effect on the spread of homosexuality. He was right. Even AIDS, which the author didn't foresee, didn't turn back the tide of permissiveness and tolerance.

Sidestepping the agitprop the author occasionally serves up, the reader garners that homosexuality is an inevitable corollary of the breakdown of sexual roles. He is also told that acceptance of homosexuality cannot be derailed because *all* forms of sexuality have become more accepted over the last 30 years or so. What the author implies by the title of his book is that America—and other Western societies—are "becoming homosexualized in the sense that more people are behaving in the way traditionally ascribed to homosexuals" (p. 224). By this, he means something more than straight males wearing earrings. Consider the bulge in the number of single people, the appearance of shamelessly decadent resorts (e.g., Hedonism II in Jamaica), and the popularity of short-term, serial relationships, legal or otherwise. Look at the fortunes spent at gentleman's clubs (or adult cabarets, if you prefer) and on adult videotapes. Sex-oriented magazines have long been a staple of male entertainment, but now, judging by the covers of the women's magazines at the supermarket checkout counter, it would appear we have spawned a generation of courtesans-in-training. Underlying all these phenomena is an attitude towards sexuality that slips farther and farther away from procreation and inches closer and closer to the purely recreational sex that homos have always enjoyed.

You don't have to be doddering or drooling to remember when things were different. I sometimes comment to my younger office colleagues, many of whom are the products of divorced parents, that when I was growing up, I never heard the term stepmother except in fairy tales. Back then, the broken home was an exception. Indeed the very term "broken home" has fallen into disuse, perhaps because it carries too much of a stigma. We do, however, hear "blended family" to describe present-day households. Certainly sounds warm and fuzzy, doesn't it? The reality, however, is often the opposite.

As late as the 60s, divorce was not taken lightly. How well I remember the summer of 1963 when I went to New England with my parents. My father was attending a technical conference in New Hampshire. Since a number of the conferees had brought their families, I had ample opportunity to socialize with other kids my own age. One such lad was attending the conference with his divorced father. I remember the pity my parents felt for the boy, the sort of pity that today would be reserved for a kid with leukemia. His parents divorced! His life ruined! What a cruel trick of fate! Turns out the kid was just ahead of his time. Stop to think about it and you can probably come up with a number of flashpoint incidents that demonstrates how the river of "mainstream" sexual behavior changed its course.

You can't get more mainstream than Jay Leno. Yet in the wake of Viagra, we have been treated to an endless litany of erection jokes. The Lewinsky affair brought fellatio humor out of the locker room and into the living room. We can argue whether all this is good or bad, but no one can dispute that mores haven't drastically shifted. Can you imagine Johnny Carson lacing his monologues with such cloacal stuff? A decade ago the *double entendre* was the most one could expect on network TV.

I also remember a time when college psychology textbooks categorized homosexuality as a perversion, a definition that needless to say won't fly any more if the academic takes his tenured professorship seriously. In fact, the whole notion of "perversion" seems to have been put into mothballs. When was the last time you heard anyone—even the most repulsive sociopath or out-and-out pedophile—characterized as a pervert? Can't use that term any more. It's a value judgment!

Clearly, as the 21st century approached, our values were hopelessly warped. In 1993 a gay man brought a "date" to the office Christmas party. Not that I hadn't seen gay employees at such parties before. In years past, they had always gone stag. In the old days, bringing a male

friend to the Christmas party would have given rise to loud guffaws. By 1993, if anyone was scandalized, nobody said anything. Anyone who laughed did it in private.

As a more recent sign of the times, I offer the hosts of a local radio show who have taken to playing spin the bottle. This game is not played the way most of my friends remembered it. If the bottle points to someone of the same sex, you must go ahead and plant a kiss on that person's lips. That the show has no problem finding contestants to go long with such ground rules may be just as alarming as the game itself. On the same radio show, the hosts frequently ask female callers if they've ever been with women. The number of callers who answer in the affirmative is mind-boggling.

I asked someone who has been in show business all his life if there are more lesbians around today, or are they just more open about it? He believes there are more of them.

Sometimes it seems that lesbianism is the last growth industry in America. Lesbian chic has made it acceptable for a whole generation of young women to indulge in sapphism. Whereas before they might have said, "Oh, gross,"



Rock Hudson, who played the virile male lead in many films, only emerged from the closet when he was dying of AIDS

now it's "oh, cool!" Such behavior provides a clue as to why females were subject to more control in days of yore. Given her freedom, the modern American female makes some incredibly stupid choices—not just concerning tattoos and body piercing.

For years homos in America have hammered us with the notion that they represent 10% of the population. Like the six million Holocausted Jews, the figure is accepted by the mainstream media, no matter how much research is done to dispel the myth. A recent exhaustive study of fairy behavior in America concluded that they were 2% to 2.5% of the population, about the same percentage as the Jews. Nevertheless the homos persist in their sacrosanct 10% figure.

A small well-heeled minority, as little as 2% of the population, can work its will on the majority. That doesn't mean there isn't strength in numbers or, more to the point, legitimacy in numbers. If you can convince people that there are more of you than there are, you have a better chance at being "mainstreamed." This is true of any form of deviant behavior. The more alcoholics, bulimics, drug addicts or whatever, the more acceptable they become. "There's one in every family" they say.

Homos keep the numbers game working overtime as they spread tales about celebrities or historical figures being queers. In Dallas there has long been a persistent rumor that Cowboy quarterback Troy Aikman is gay. Why isn't he married? Why don't we see him out on the town with dates? Well, if you had his income, you'd probably be wary of marriage. Aikman is just the type the homos love, radiating as he does that youthful beach-boy look. While in a public restroom at Union Station in Dallas, I was amazed to note the amount of graffiti pertaining to what local homos would like to do to Troy. It becomes obvious that if you're the kind of guy gays go gaga over, they eventually assume you're one of them. On a national level, teen heartthrob Leonardo DiCaprio has the same problem.

The oft-asked question of is-he, is-he-ain't a homo is frequently followed by another question. What difference does it make? Well, it *does* make a difference. If it didn't, the homos wouldn't be thumping their chests about the great homos of history.

The attempts to paint a number of great Western artists (check out Shakespeare's gender-bending in *The Twelfth*



Baby-faced DiCaprio

Night, or Michelangelo's muscular male nudes) as homosexuals strikes me as the equivalent of blacks asserting that ancient Egyptians were Negroid. It's grasping after respectability. The artists aren't around to defend themselves, so their sexuality is fodder for speculation. What cannot be denied, however—and what Altman points out in his book—is that today's homosexual artists have a defined gay audience. Both the artist and his audience are out of the closet. In generations past the pansy writer was read because he had something of interest to say to straight audiences. He wasn't on a soapbox. One can read, for example, the poetry of Hart Crane or the fiction of W. Somerset Maugham without the subject of queers coming up.

But taking the high ground isn't the only strategy for American homos. In America, pop culture sets the tone. This is why the *Ellen* TV show is so insidious. What could be more mainstream than a good old American sitcom? If a lesbo can have her very own sitcom, how could anything be wrong with it? In TV and movies, we are seeing more and more queer characters that are not warped, twisted or villainous. They are nice young men, though perhaps a tad too sensitive for this brutal world, like the Greg Kinnear character in *As Good As It Gets.*

One of the more noxious "mainstream" movies of recent years was *In and Out,* in which semi-Semite Kevin Kline played a small-town high school teacher "outed" by a former student on national television. The amusing premise was set up as a farce involving a straight, albeit somewhat prissy, man who has to prove that he's not a homo—a rather daunting task. But halfway through the movie, the teacher decides he really is "that way" and the second half of the film is pro-homo propaganda. What else can you expect when the writer of the screen play is an out-of-the closet Jew, one Paul Rudnick?

If you've been to a bookstore lately, you can't help but notice the growth in homo/lesbo titles. A local Barnes & Noble store even features lesbian literature discussion clubs. Are homos that much more literate than heteros or do they just have more leisure time for reading and writing? The idea that they are more creative is a canard that should be put to sleep. I don't doubt the married-with-children crowd represents a wealth of untapped creative talent. What they don't have is leisure time. The demands of daily living are the greatest censors of the creative impulse.

The straight artist must overcome the "disgust factor" when building his career. What goes through the mind of a religious young man with an interest in theater when he discovers that Jews and homosexuals rule the roost? What does the talented painter or musician think when confronted with the reality of the Chosen controlling the art and music world, when he is confronted with Jewish agencies, the original ten percenters? (Now some want 15 percent.) The hetero artist can, of course, donate his time or work for AIDS benefits, the United Negro College Fund or march on Washington with some supposedly beleaguered group to store up brownie and brown-nosing points. He can go along to get along. More than likely, however, at some point, watching the sodomites and minorityites praised to the skies for their filth, he simply gives up in disgust.

Dr. Paul Cameron of the Family Research Institute determined that 62% of boys who recounted homosexual rape as their first sexual experience became bisexual or homosexual. He also found that homos were three to four times more likely to have been reared in an urban environment, where gay culture is pervasive. Indeed, if you live in a large city, a weekly homo fishwrap is probably as close as your nearest bookstore, museum or restaurant. It is highly instructive to pick up such publications, though you might want to look around to make sure no one you know sees you, and jump through the looking glass into a world that bears some similarities to your world but has some surprising and often disturbing features. Like a conventional publication held up to a fun house mirror, it is familiar but distorted. There is queer jargon, such as GLBT (no, not a sandwich, an acronym for gay/lesbian/bisexual/transgendered). Not surprisingly conservative Christians and family-oriented groups are diabolized. Life insurance ads feature two middle-aged men. Other ads feature leather boys and musclemen. Major advertisers are not loath to advertise in such publications, which may be another reason why queerdom will not go away. Its members have been identified as a profitable market! As the old advertising adage goes, before you can sell 'em, you've got to tell 'em who they are. Gay identity and culture have become more and more focused. But as Altman points out, one is not born into gay culture. It is not absorbed from the environment by the infant's sponge-like mind or learned at mother's knee or handed down like an ethnic tradition. It must, in effect, attract newcomers to perpetuate itself. Most homos would probably reject the notion that they are recruiters. Suffice it to say that they are always looking for a few good men who fit their own qualifications.



Lucille Le Sueur, aka Joan Crawford, kept her lesbianism under cover

Strangely, while the bugaboo of homophobia (like racism or sexism) is a familiar refrain lamented from the mountain tops, it is far less stringent than in generations past. But this is the price we pay for living in a tolerant society. If social change has taught us anything, it is that the more aberrant behavior we are *willing* to tolerate, the more aberrant behavior we will *have* to tolerate.

Numbers aside, there is one nagging flaw in the homo trend towards mainstreaming. The main business of any society is survival, providing for the continuity of the race, the nation, the species. Society could go on forever without homosexuals, but we cannot survive without fathers. By definition, homosexuals are excluded, though some strive mightily to become adoptive parents. Like it or not, the big top will always be a heterosexual arena, while homos will always be relegated to the sideshow.

JUDSON HAMMOND

Double Standard!

When Jewish leaders bewail the dilution of their own kind through assimilation and "marrying out," do we call them "racists?" We don't. We agree with that 19th-century English squire who said, "A man should have as much care for his race as he has for his horses." What is more natural than that people should care for the preservation of their own kind and their own culture? Doesn't one naturally care most for one's own family. Isn't one's race an extension of one's family? To us, nothing seems more essentially evil than the old Bolshevik dream of crushing all the peoples of the world into an amorphous, beige-colored "global proletariat" without loyalty to race or faith or country!

This is why we see nothing wrong with Jewish leaders worrying about the weakening of the Tribe. But if we don't call this attitude "racist," why do they so readily slap that label on a non-Jew's concern for his kind? Why are they not ashamed to do this? Why are they not troubled by their own hypocrisy? What can be the source of this contradiction between what Jewish leaders practice and what they preach to the rest of us?

The answer lies in their religion. Judaism requires its "true believers" to use a double standard. That requirement comes from the Talmud, a compendium of rabbinical utterances dating back some 1,500 years, which in turn is based upon the Torah (the Pentateuch, the first five books of the Bible). Jewish writers today maintain that it's really the Talmud that is "the heart's blood" of their religion. It is, they say, "so sacred that God Himself must stand up to read it." True, the Talmud contains a wealth of wit and wisdom. But it also declares Jews to be the only human beings on Earth! All the rest of us, the Goyim or "cattle," are classified as animals created only to serve the "Chosen."

When Kipling wrote of "lesser breeds," he was not denying the humanity of other peoples. Only the Talmud does that. Most Jews may not embrace this brand of racism, but hardline Zionists certainly do. The Talmud tells them, "Even the best of the Goyim should be killed." Hard as it may be for most of us to accept that an outwardly civilized people could still cherish an ideology so savage, the mass graves scattered around Palestine and Lebanon speak for themselves.

In that primitive perspective, Jewish leaders do not feel themselves to be hypocritical in applying a double standard. Their own racism authorizes it. Lower beings, in their view, have no business dictating rules of behavior to higher ones. Nor have the Goyim any right to complain of unfair treatment. Doesn't the Talmud say, "In dealing with the Goyim, moral considerations need not be taken into account?" Consequently a Talmudist can say, "Why should we honor your ideas of fairness and honesty when these were never ours? Your rules cannot apply to us!"

There's an ominous echo here of the Communist ideology promoted by Lenin. He, too, dismissed all the founding values of Western Christian civilization as "obsolete bourgeois morality." Just as the only loyalty permitted a Communist is that to the Party, so loyalty to the Tribe is the ultimate rule for a Zionist. Could this similarity in outlook be the reason we so often find Communists and Zionists working together to impose a totalitarian mind-control on the rest of us by incessantly attacking our freedoms of speech and assembly?

Chosen History

It is worrisome that so many of us have been taken in by the Zionists' self-serving account of their own history! Swallowing the "Chosen" line as though it was actual truth means tacitly conceding their right to be above the law. If so many of our people had not been suckered in this way, would they be so ready to echo accusations of racism uttered by those whose own racism is the oldest and ugliest on Earth? Or so ready to allow Israel to violate international law as none of her neighbors is allowed to do? Or, indeed, to accept that questioning the Six Million figure should be made a criminal offense, when disagreement on other historical events draws no such penalty?

Why should the Jewish Lobby's endless promotion of the Holocaust be permitted to obscure the greater truth that the number of non-Jews killed by Jewish Bolsheviks in Stalin's "evil empire" was many times the number of Jews murdered by non-Jews? How much does the public ever hear about that side of things? They would certainly never get a hint of it from reading Goldhagen's totally one-sided indictment of the German people in *Hitler's Willing Executioners.* That monocular tract ignores what eventually induced most Germans to accept Nazi anti-Semitism—namely, the Bolshevik atrocities that had already been going on for 15 years when Hitler came to power!

That very year, 1933, saw those atrocities culminate in the greatest single crime of our century—the systematic murder by starvation of some 7 to 8 million people in the Ukrainian Holocaust. If our schoolchildren ever hear of that Holocaust, they will certainly not be told that it was largely conceived, conducted and covered up by Jewish Bolsheviks. Nor will they be told that those people were then running—in years which a Canadian-Jewish newspaper has described as "a golden age for Soviet Jewry"—both Stalin's secret police and the Gulag Archipelago!

Students at Harvard University won't hear of this either, if the recent boast of a rabbi there is to be believed. He said that Harvard has now been "thoroughly cleansed and Judaized." Could this be the reason why our history seems to be taught less and less these days? Could this be the reason why the mass media, while continually hitting us over the head with the suffering of Jews under Hitler, tell next to nothing of their significant role in "the evil empire?"

Soviet crimes get very little "play" compared to those of the Nazis. More often than not, Stalin is presented (as in Hollywood movies of the 1940s) as the leader of an heroic war against Fascism.

This should not be taken as a defense of Hitler, but simply as an illustration of the gross imbalance in the picture commonly presented to us—and as yet another aspect of the double standard we're complaining of. Whatever the reasons for it, half the history of our time has been virtually suppressed—an erasure of history that could never have come about if we "stupid Goyim" had not allowed ourselves to be deceived.

Is it not time we woke up to the true state of affairs? Is it not time to shake off that 2,000-year-old brainwashing which has allowed a small ethnic minority to impose its will on the rest of us? If we fail to wake up, our children and theirs will pay the price. They may well find themselves the slaves of an ethnic elite which despises them as much as Bolsheviks despised the people of Russia.

PETER J. LORDEN



Goldhagen Revisited

On taking a second look at *Hitler's Willing Executioners* (Feb. 1998), one is struck most of all by its willful one-sidedness. Goldhagen refers again and again to the anti-Semitism of the German people, blaming much of it on Christian teaching. Not once, however, in this hate-infused work does he acknowledge the racism of his own people. Nowhere does he even hint at the possibility that the historical unpopularity of Jews just might have something to do with 3,000 years of Jewish anti-Gentilism!

In telling us that "anti-Semitism has been a more or less permanent feature of the Western world," Goldhagen puts no blame on the beliefs and conduct of Jews themselves. For him, they have always and everywhere been the entirely innocent victims of a racial persecution which they did nothing to promote.

An innocent reader of *Hitler's Willing Executioners* would never suspect that when Goldhagen's people gained immense power over others—as in Palestine and the Soviet Union—they behave very much as the Nazis behaved. Another instance of the author's implacable bias is his complaint (pp. 49-50) that "*der Jude* was defined as a *Fremdkorper*, an alien body within the *Deutsches Volk*." But hasn't the Zionist so defined himself?

He writes (p. 141) about the Nazi destruction of synagogues, "To destroy a community's institutions is psychologically almost the same, and almost as satisfying, as destroying its people." What else was Begin up to when his 1982 invasion of Lebanon included a special unit dedicated to trashing Palestinian institutions and erasing their history? Again, how can Goldhagen say (p. 170), "The camp was the first major distinctively new institution that Nazism founded after Hitler's ascension to power," when the Bolsheviks had already been running their own camps for 15 years? Finally, having satisfied himself that anti-Semitism among ordinary Germans was due to some basic evil in their character, Goldhagen professes to be puzzled by the evil's occurrence among the non-Germanic Ukrainians, Latvians and Lithuanians. He states (p. 409): "Much work remains to be done on these people." The motivation common to all three of those nations, namely, their having suffered so much at the hands of Jewish Bolsheviks and Jewish informers, is totally ignored!

What really needs to be explained is how an intelligent man could write history in such a ludicrously self-serving manner. Whatever Goldhagen's own religious views may be, one cannot help suspecting the influence of Talmudic teachers. Such a primitive ideology should have been left in the desert where it began!

PETER J. LORDEN

More Repartee From Lorden

Though it seems to have drawn "more kicks than ha'pence," I'm happy to see "Wolfman!" (July 1998) provoke a whole page of responses in September's Backtalk. I'd also like to express my appreciation of our esteemed editor's willingness to allow the expression of opposing views—an attitude some of his readers might well emulate. My own aim is to look at all sides and come up with a balanced view. If this offends members of the Adolf Hitler Fan Club, so be it.

Re Zip 420, Lorden hails not from "the land of Zündel," but from that of William Shakespeare and Mr. Bean. As for "believing in the Holocaust," my rejection of the Six Million myth and contempt for Holocaustamania have often been affirmed and reaffirmed on these pages. Incidentally aren't Jewish "survivors" now asking for double indemnity? Weren't some 3.5 million of them paid 5,000 marks apiece by West Germany? As for the charge that we're all guilty of letting the Holocaust happen, let's point out that we were fighting Hitler—not *working* for him, as so many Jews now claim they did!

Re Zips 113 and 370, I'd gladly answer their charges if only I could figure out what they are. Have I erred by failing to see the world in black and white? If so, it's an error shared with David Irving, who himself has spoken of "many massacres" committed by Nazis in the Baltic countries.

Zip 472 is more specific. He accuses me of cribbing "atrocities in Poland" from a book I've never read. A book *he's* never read, it seems, is *Conversations With An Executioner* by Kazimiertz Moczarski, a Polish Home Army veteran who spent many postwar months in a cell with General Stroop. I'm afraid Zip 472 wouldn't enjoy this book because it tells: (a) that Stroop was executed for murdering slave laborers in Western Europe; (b) how Stroop himself expressed admiration for the extraordinary courage and resourcefulness of Jewish boys and girls defending the Warsaw Ghetto. As to Poland, Hitler *did* order Himmler to cut the head off that society. He may well have borrowed this method of subjugation from its use in Ukraine by the Bolsheviks, whose far greater crimes I've often stressed in this journal.

In that connection, I'm glad that Zip 723 found "Wolfman!" refreshing, but would caution that, if Hitler had not appeared upon the scene, all of Europe could have suffered a far worse fate under Stalin. History is seldom as simple as we'd like it to be, *nicht wahr*? The best any of us can do is to present one aspect at a time, as fairly as we can, hoping that judgment may be reserved until the whole mosaic has appeared. But if critics didn't pop up along the way, we wouldn't be having so much fun!

Change of Heart

A short poem by Peter Lorden printed in Instauration some time ago was so good that I was going to write him. I'm glad I didn't. His article about Hitler, entitled "Wolfman!" (July 1998) was so unworthy of him and of Instauration that I wonder what induced the editor to print it. It was lurid and medieval. Lorden just stopped short of calling Hitler a werewolf with blood dripping from his fangs. If you had sought for controversy's sake to print a rational article critical of Hitler, any of a score of writers could have done the job. With the Lorden article, it would seem Instauration is scraping the bottom of the barrel.

SOUTH AFRICAN SUBSCRIBER

Slaves of Slaves

Recently Instauration printed remarks from a reader about *Free Negro Owners of Slaves in the United States in 1830* by Carter Woodson. I got a copy of the book. It's quite a find. The author and His four black assistants went through the 1830 Census and compiled two lists: (1) blacks who owned slaves; (2) absentee slave owners. By state and county Woodson listed the owners' names, number of slaves owned and number of individuals (slave and free) in the household. In 1830 some 3,553 blacks in 24 states owned 13,199 slaves. Some slaves even owned other slaves! Black males with white wives owned slaves. Especially interesting were the absentee owners' data. Some 90% of the black slaves who lived apart from their absentee owners had no whites present. In short, slaves were running slaves.

EDWARD KERLING

Cultural Catacombs

Race Talkathon Ends

Clinton's much ballyhooed "race initiative" has packed it in. Millions of dollars were thrown away on what was supposed to be a serious effort to solve the race problem. Despite the ruminations of the elders, the only solution is separation, which is bound to come sooner or later (the longer it takes the more painful the separation process). Hypocrisy-ridden conferences in which all the blame is placed on whites may make black intellectuals feel good, but boiled down such gatherings are simply competitions in meaningless verbiage. No matter what is said or done, affirmative action is still widespread. The entire establishment seems to concentrate either on openly violating the latest court rulings or simply ignoring them. That the American Majority will become a minority by 2050 is treated as good news. The day that America commits suicide can't seem to come fast enough for our grave diggers.

320

Privacy Invaders Punished

The Christian Quigleys and the Jewish Aronsons were good neighbors in Evergreen (CO), at least for a while. After they fell out, the Aronsons began scanning conversations from the Quigleys' cellular phone. Accumulating a large number of eavesdroppings, in some of which the word "Jew" was heard, not always favorably, the Aronsons marched off to a deferential local district attorney and the ever-snooping ADL. The press, as is its pro-Semitic custom, came down hard on the Quigleys.

After a year or so of being on the receiving end of a litany of wild charges, the Quigleys decided to sue. Since it was a clear case of invasion of privacy, the district attorney finally had to apologize and hand the Quigleys $35,000, in addition to the $350,000 the Aronsons and their lawyers had to shell out. What remains unsettled is the Quigleys' suit against the ADL which, resorting to typical Jewish hyperbole, declared the Quigleys' behavior the worst example of anti-Semitism since the murder of Denver Jewish talk show host, Alan Berg.

The Quigleys' lawyer, Jay Horowitz (they're everywhere), demanded that the local ADL produce all reports of anti-Semitism received since 1990. Outraged, the Jewish "monitors" claimed the right of news journals to keep their sources confidential. The public will have a lot to learn if the ADL's appeal fails and non-Jews have an opportunity to examine the inner workings of one of America's most fearsome racist organizations.

First Amendment Trashed

Five girls and four boys were arrested and jailed for publishing a 20-page booklet, some of it handwritten, that contained "racist" and "vulgar" language. Much of the sinful writing and illustrations were aimed at the black superintendent of Florida's Killian High School. Aged 16 to 18, some of them honor students, the kids were charged with hate crimes. If convicted, they can get as much as five years in prison. They were released after spending a night in jail with killers, rapists, muggers and other humanoid trash. So much for free speech in Florida.

Impossible Scenario

As more and more immigrants wash ashore in the U.S., oldline Americans are continually told (ordered) to be tolerant, to lend a helping hand, to be good neighbors. Call it what you will, this is merely an updated manifestation of the white man's burden. The next time someone excoriates Majority members for their racism, ask what other races would do if the tables were turned. Imagine a world of the future in which hordes of white refugees flee Europe and North America for whatever reason—civil war, ice age or ecological disaster. It is almost impossible to conceive whites being given a protected minority status in a black, brown or yellow continent. Even harder to imagine is blacks, browns and yellows welcoming whites with open arms and providing government assistance, jobs and housing. Nations of color have a paucity of the genes responsible for color-blindness.

J.H.

The Dysfunctional Moons

Hyo Jin, the eldest of Korean Sun Myung Moon's 12 children, has spent several stints in jail. According to Nausook Hong, Hyo's ex-wife, her drug-dabbling husband beat her badly when she was seven months' pregnant. Nausook has now written a tell-all book about the Moon family and its income-tax evading patriarch, who owns the Washington Times, the sporadically conservative sheet which occasionally—but only occasionally—prints some of the stories that are too "rightist" for the Jewish-tainted Washington Post. It was the Times that fired Sam Francis, the most talented and most truthful American columnist, for being too politically incorrect.

278

Blinkered Midas

Bill Gates is so busy making money that he probably hasn't a clue to what is going on in this befuddled country. An ardent supporter of augmenting legal immigration, he has contributed $25,000 to the liberal-minority crusade to defeat Washington State's I-200 anti-affirmative action initiative. The technology that made Gates the richest white man in the world is now being taken over by foreign immigrants, who are turning Silicon Valley into a mostly Asian enclave.

Residential Showmanship

Ira Rennert, a Jewish moneyman, is building a mansion in Long Guyland that will have 29 bedrooms and 39 bathrooms. The grandiose Jewish showoff still has a lot of catchup to do to equal the Duke of Marlborough's Blenheim mansion with its 600 windows. Another aristo, Lord Derby, required a staff of 550 to run two of his estates back in the days when Britannia ruled the waves and almost everything else.

Jesse vs. Boeing

Boeing, one of the great American companies, is being readied for a shakedown by Rev. Jesse Jackson. The nation's chief extortionist has called for a meeting with Chairman Phil Condit to "discuss" various black lawsuits against the company for racial discrimination. It did Boeing little good to contribute $50,000 to the campaign to defeat the Washington State initiative that would ban affirmative action in hiring and public education. Majority members should have some sympathy for Condit but, like most other big-time CEOs these days, he will indubitably cave in.

Unpardonable Omission

Two issues of the Superman comic strip that dealt with the hero's visit to Holocaust land did not contain the word "Jew" or "Jewish." The head of DC Marvel Comics, Jenette Kahn, profusely apologized to the ADL for the unforgivable lapse. Superman was conceived by two Jews in 1938. Their stealing and twisting some words of Nietzsche would never have gotten off the ground if the creators had not been Jewish. A similar strip by non-Jews would have been denounced as anti-Semitic.

Inklings

Pride and Shame

On the tarmac was an F-18-E Hornet, which the young Navy captain pilot gave me permission to inspect. A few people were milling around, including his wife and two young daughters. He was on a cross country flight and his family had come by to see him. After a short visit he suited up, climbed into the cockpit of his technological marvel of a machine, fired it up, waved good-bye to his family and took off. He did a fly-by over the runway, a few barrel rolls, then took the plane straight up and was gone. He made me proud to be a Majority member. As I walked away I thought about the flyer's commander in chief, draft-dodging Bill Clinton. How did we allow this paragon of scatology to occupy the White House? What is wrong with us!?

601

Censorious Pol

One of the very few U.S. publications that gives the Palestinians a break is the Washington Report on Middle East Affairs. Congressman Steve Rothman (J-NJ) has taken it upon himself to silence this voice crying in the wilderness. He has recently dispatched a letter to every member of Congress declaring the mag is anti-Semitic and required reading for Holocaust deniers. Such accusations, it need not be said, are the kiss of death for any "respectable" U.S. publication. When a congressman goes to the trouble of keeping legislators from hearing some pro-Palestinian arguments, we can easily see why the media kowtow to Israel. Americans are simply not allowed to hear any meaningful criticism of the Zionist expansionism that has turned the Middle East into a killing field.

One Blessing

The ongoing scandal of sex and sin that has President Clinton perpetually running for cover has one overarching positive side for the American mainstream. It further reduces the power of this President to do any more damage to Majority interests. It also occupies the addled minds of those who labor in Congress with an issue that likewise should do us no damage. In fact, keeping the politicians inept and inert may be our only salvation this day or any other.

National-level politicos see themselves as agents of special interests, including the interests of racial minorities, by promoting policies and programs whose long-term impact on Majority members is nearly uniformly negative.

As President Clinton struggles to preserve his disheveled political empire from the complete collapse that it certainly deserves, and with Congress blathering endlessly about the great moral gaffe of sex in governmental offices, the average white Joe may momentarily rest in peaceful repose, taking the carnival as very much a blessing in disguise.

I.H.

Washington Squibs

•There is a schism in the soul of America. A N.Y. Times poll indicated that nearly two-thirds of blacks blame the Lewinsky scandal not on Clinton but on Clinton's enemies. Some 60% of blacks thought that Clinton was "more honest" than most politicians. Only 21% of whites were crazy enough to feel that way. The stench of the O.J. trial still hangs heavy in the air. Black racism continues to infect every nook and cranny of American life.

•After denying her husband had intimate relations with Monica, Hillary said that all he had been doing was "ministering" to the young woman. It was almost as big a whopper as her whining about a "vast right-wing conspiracy."

•The ranking minority member of the House Judiciary Committee, John Conyers, is a raging black racist who was very close to being a certified fellow traveler back in Cold War days. A loud-mouthed impeacher of Nixon, Conyers is an equally loud-mouthed anti-impeacher of Clinton. His former prize staffer, Julius Epstein, is now the chief Democratic counsel of the Judiciary Committee.

Unapologetic Gofers

Before the truth emerged, Clinton's Cabinet officers and staffers had this to say about accusations of the Chief Executive's satyriasis:

•Secretary of State Madeline Albright: "I believe that these allegations are completely untrue."

•"I'll second that," declared Commerce Secretary William Daley.

•"I've already said that," exclaimed Education Secretary Richard Riley.

•"I'll second that again," chimed in HHS Secretary Donna Shalala.

•Paul Begala, a senior Clinton flackmeister, told Larry King, "The President did not have a sexual relationship with that woman."

•Rahm Emmanuel, another Clinton spin artist, put in his two cents: "Did he have sex? No. Sexual relations. No."

One would think after discovering their boss had turned them into party-line shills and a sorry claque of "yes men," the Clintonites would have quit in disgust. Instead, choosing the route of outright prevarication, they repeated their lies and kept on slaving for their totally compromised boss.

Strange Preference

As the Clinton sitcom grinds on, the President consults the Bible and Talmud for a definition of sexual relations that will forgive his loathsome behavior. At the same time, he accuses the press and the media of invading his privacy. In reality the reportage has simply been an exposé of his shady doings. But the voters already knew a great deal about his character or lack of it when they elected him. Apparently the people choose to hold the President to a lower moral standard than the armed forces, civil service and academia. Strange preference!

200

Sad Lesson

John Ashcroft, a Republican freshman senator from Missouri, has a lot to learn about American politics. In a conference of so-called Jewish conservatives he praised Henry Ford. He was quickly brought to heel by a Jewish newspaper for saying something good about anyone,

One word doomed him

even an American hero, whom Jews consider to be an anti-Semite.

Quickly apologizing, Ashcroft sputtered, "It was the wrong thing to do, and I apologize for it." Ashcroft might remember what happened to Charles Lindbergh, another American hero, who spoke the one word "Jews" in his great anti-war Des Moines speech. He was instantaneously demoted from American hero to Nazi sympathizer. Jews, not Majority members, choose whom to praise or dispraise.

Primate Watch

Al Neuharth, super-equalitarian founding father of USA Today, and his off-white wife have four children (all adopted). The eldest is white; the three others, hybrids.

#

Dragging a barely breathing Negro to his death behind a white-driven pickup dominated the national news for more than the usual time allotted to sensationalizing white-on-black crime. The following equally atrocious event was practically hushed up. **Two blacks** in Saginaw (MI) abducted a white girl, bound her with duct tape and drove around town all night offering her to their racial sidekicks. Her chaperons then took over and proceeded to rape, sodomize and torture her until she gave up the ghost. Her mangled body was left on some nearby railroad tracks. (Chronicles, Sept. 9, 1998, p. 6)

#

The new president of the Ford Motor Co. is **Jacques Nasser**, a Lebanese immigrant. Poor old Henry.

#

Rev. Henry Lyons, the flim-flam religionist who is still managing to hold the reins of power of the National Baptist Convention USA, is out on bail after being charged with racketeering, theft and fraud. Despite his looting of his congregation's finances, the faithful still send him large amounts of cash collected in waste baskets at pep rallies. He needs the dough to maintain his lavish lifestyle and to pay off the $964,000 mortgage on the Baptist World Center in Nashville. Lyons is also charged with diverting for his personal use money given his church by the ADL.

#

Three Hispanics were arrested in Queens (NY) for severely beating a 19-year-old male of Asian-Indian extraction. The suspects face 25 years in the jug. Whites apparently are not the only persons who commit hate crimes.

#

The Paki, **Mir Amal Kansi,** who killed two CIA men outside the agency headquarters in Virginia, was finally tracked down in his native village on the Afghanistan border after a 4½-year search. Spirited aboard a jet, he was flown to the U.S. and found guilty of double homicide in a DC court. The defendant claimed he was protesting U.S. foreign policy and Israel's barbaric treatment of Palestinians.

#

Three Negroes were arrested for robbing a bank in Napoleonville (LA). In the course of the heist they forced two white female employees, one a 33-year-old mother, to lie on the floor. Both were then shot in the back of the head. How many times is this hideous scenario repeated each month or even each week in this jungle of a country?

#

Carol Moseley-Brown, the Senate's premier racist, tried to prove that she herself is a target of racism by accusing George Will of practically calling her a "nigger." The Senate's only black member later apologized for using the term, which is forbidden to whites.

#

The **black mother and boyfriend** of two-year-old Ashley Smithson were mad as hell at their toddler for eating breakfast sausages reserved for mama. After a two-hour beating for her "crime," the pickaninny was close to death.

#

Edward Bernero, Hispanic manager of a Walgreens store in Phoenix, watched a **Negro** walk imperiously out the door with a 12-pack of beer which he had not bothered to pay for. Although it is against company regulations, Bernero gave chase and ended up on the hood of the thief's car. After a wild zigzagging ride, the driver slammed on the brakes propelling Bernero onto the asphalt. His injuries were so severe he died on the way to the hospital.

#

Race-card player **Johnnie Cochran** lost a libel suit against New York Post columnist Andrea Peyser, who wrote that Cochran "will say or do just about anything to win, typically at the expense of the truth." Peyser also denounced Cochran for being part of a team of "legal scoundrels [who] dazzled a Los Angeles jury into buying [the] fantasy tale of a citywide police conspiracy, in order to set free a celebrity who slaughtered his ex-wife."

#

Ear-biter **Mike Tyson** is way behind on his income taxes. Worse, he has been slapped with a $6.3-million lien on his palatial mansion in Connecticut. For the nonce his biggest worry is not another fight with Evander Holyfield but the Nevada Boxing Commission, which has hesitated to reinstate his suspended license. A $33-million contract with Showtime hangs in the balance.

#

Though he passed important technological data to Israel for ten years, **David Tenenbaum,** a Defense Dept. engineer, remains unfazed and now has another government job. Tenenbaum claims his spying was "inadvertent." Since the U.S. permits Israel to spend $150 million of its huge aid package on research and development, Jews consequently have a ready-made springboard to the U.S. military's top secrets.

#

The first sports agent of note was probably **Leigh Steinberg**, who started his firm back in 1975. He has probably made as many millions out of sports as has any star football or basketball player. At present he represents half of all starting quarterbacks.

#

When convicted of bludgeoning their newborn child to death and disposing of his body in a motel dumpster, **Brian Patterson and Amy Grossberg** had their eight-year sentence cut by a judge to two and two and half years, respectively. The Grossberg family connections may have had something to do with this wimpish slap on the wrist for murder.

#

Some months ago **Pedro Cruz Munoz** was given the needle 21 years after the Hispanic murdered a white 19-year-old college coed. The female victim was abducted, raped and killed after Munoz dragged her off a bridge about a mile from Southwestern University where she was a freshman.

#

Charged with murder in recent months: Negro **Nathanial Abraham**, 12, of Detroit; an **unnamed 11-year-old Negro** in Dallas; **two Negro brothers, 7 and 8,** in Chicago. The charges on the latter two were dropped when semen was found on the clothes of the 11-year-old girl victim.

#

Much is heard about **David Cash's** failure to prevent or even report his friend **Jeremy Stroheim's** sexual attack and murder of a seven-year-old black girl in a Nevada casino restroom. Little or nothing has been heard from the father of the girl who let her roam unattended around the casino while he gambled in the pre-dawn hours.

#

In a recent explosion of racial invective **Mayor Willie Brown** of San Francisco called black Supreme Court Justice Clarence Thomas a "shill for racism." The black clown then compared conservative Justices to KKK leaders.

#

A Louisiana judge has offered to substantially reduce the 45-year sentence of a **convicted child molester** if he brings his testicles to court "in a jar" with documentation from the doctor who performed the castration.

Talking Numbers

Of the 34 law clerks hired by Supreme Court Justices in the 1998-99 term all but one, a female Hispanic, is a Majority member.

#

Altogether since their appointment to the High Bench, the following Justices hired the following minorityites as law clerks: Rehnquist, 1 Hispanic; Stevens 3 blacks, 5 Asians; O'Connor 1 black, 4 Asians, 1 Hispanic; Kennedy 3 Asians, 1 Hispanic; Souter 1 Asian, 1 Hispanic; Thomas 1 black, 3 Asians; Ginsburg 1 black, 1 Asian; Breyer 1 black, 1 Asian, 1 Hispanic; Scalia 0.

#

Israel, which gets $1.5 billion a year in U.S. aid (plus $1.6 billion in military aid) has a population of 5.5 million. Russia, with a population of 150 million, is scheduled to receive a $225 million sweetener.

#

71% of blacks, 63% of Hispanics and 31% of white welfarites live in job-scarce central cities.

#

The California Supreme Court ordered the law firm of Baker and McKenzie to pay Reno Weeks $3.5 million in punitive damages. She charged that attorney Martin Greenstein groped her, lunged at her and taunted her with sexually suggestive remarks. Clinton did much more to Monica Lewinsky, but to date has not been ordered by any court to pay damages of any kind.

#

Blacks stand solidly behind Clinton in his time of troubles. By 63% to 24% they claim that the President's enemies are more responsible for creating the scandal than is the President. Shades of the O.J. trial!

#

38% of college-bound students had A averages in 1998 compared to 28% in 1988. Since the SAT scores of the same students fell by 10 points in the last decade, it looks as if A students have been recipients of a little grade inflation.

#

More than 81,000 Japanese Americans received payments of $20,000 for their forced internment in U.S. concentration camps in WWII. 70% were U.S. citizens.

#

39 states and DC have passed special laws against hate crimes. Although blacks commit more crimes against whites than vice versa, somehow whites commit more hate crimes, which means they often serve more time than blacks for the same type of crime.

#

Richest Negresses: Oprah Winfrey, $550 million; Sheila Crump, wife of Black Entertainment Television mogul, Robert Crump, $210 million; Camille Crosby, wife of Bill, $170 million.

#

14,000 Holocaust survivors inhabit metro Los Angeles. No wonder it is becoming known as New Jerusalem.

#

The Hispanic population of California now tops 9.9 million. People who don't like blacks should not move to Jefferson County (MI), which is 87% Negro. Anti-Hispanics would not be comfortable living in Starr County (TX), which is 98% Latino.

#

An estimated 12 million American women have been raped in the past 20 years. (Newsweek, Oct. 5, 1998, p. 79)

#

He buys the votes of blacks and Hispanics with welfare. He buys the votes of Jews by his Zionistic pandering and by appointing them to the highest government jobs. Add to the above a president willing to sell out his race and the national interest on a daily basis and you have 1998 American politics. As long as anti-Semitism grovels to anti-WASPism, this country is for the birds.

#

White men in the military in the 25 to 34 age bracket are 2.7 times more likely to marry nonwhites than are civilians. White women in the military in the same age bracket are 7 times more likely to marry black husbands than are white civilian women.

#

Almost 25% of the babies born in European Union countries are illegitimate, up from 10% in 1980. 54% of Swedish babies are bastards. Cypress has the fewest such, 1.4%.

#

In the 20 years since the election of John Paul II to the papacy the proportion of European-born cardinals slipped from 50% to 46%.3.

#

Hollywood released 471 full-length films last year, compared to the release of 7,852 porn videos.

#

Of the 174 nations in the UN human development index, only 2 in the top 60 are African, Mauritius and the Seychelles Islands. Of the 53 countries in Africa 41 belong to the index's bottom 60 countries. To make things worse for the Dark Continent, Africa has 21% of the planet's AIDS victims.

#

Colin Powell, supported by 24% of Republicans in recent polls, is the GOP's leading candidate for the presidency. So far there is no simpatico white presidential candidate of either party in sight.

#

The average American kid spends 1,500 hours a year watching TV; only 900 hours a year in school.

#

Every 5 days, an estimated 2,326 legal immigrants enter the U.S. from Mexico. The illegals amount to much more than that. In the first 5 days of May of this year the Border Patrol caught 5,295 illegals sneaking into southern Arizona.

#

Of the 12,084 illegal immigrants, mostly from Central America, nabbed in south Texas between Oct. 1, 1997 and Aug. 31, 1998, 3,122 were released by the Border Patrol.

#

Although blacks represent 12% to 13% of the U.S. population, they comprise 4% of registered nurses, 3% of physicians and 2% of dentists.

#

83.8% of the U.S. officer corps is white; 8.1% black. 64.1% of the enlisted personnel is white; 22.2% black. Whites are significantly overrepresented in combat units.

#

Leonard Bernstein's estate includes 400,000 letters, photos, manuscripts, recordings and other memorabilia. It's a wonder he ever had time to wave his baton or exercise his bisexual propensity.



#

Bad news for lesbians. Women who have never been pregnant are 6 times more likely to develop breast cancer than those who have never borne children.

#

$142,861.44 is the cost of a 1-day full-page ad in the Wall St. Journal. (Brill's Content, Oct. 1998, p. 148)

Waspishly Yours

Thomas Cahill is flacking a book, *The Gifts of the Jews: How a Tribe of Desert Nomads Changed the Way Everyone Thinks and Feels.*

What gifts? Who is this "everyone" who was changed? Was the change for the better?

This same Thomas Cahill who is extolling the Jews now, a short time ago was telling us how the Irish saved Western civilization. When I look around, I wonder if it isn't time for the Celts to work their magic once again, except that maybe once was enough for a cure which turned out to be worse than the disease. On the other hand, if eerily beautiful Gregorian chants can renovate (or, better still, kill off gangstah rap), I'm ready to be born again at the hands of St. Columban, St. Patrick or whomever the boozy Celts care to missionize this time around.

I'm bound to say, however, that St. Columban and his tar-covered circular rowboats might have it tougher this time around, trying to save civilization in America. All Columban had to battle was simple-minded pagans yearning to be enslaved. Now he would have to contend with sophisticated gangstah rappahs, scissor-wielding feminists and Christian charities like our Congress.

But is saying that the Irish saved civilization with Christianity as bad as crediting the way "everyone thinks and feels" to a tribe of desert nomads? And how does Cahill know how "everyone" thought and felt before these vagrant nomads changed it all? And why the assumption that the change was for the better?

I can't recapitulate the entire book, all the blessings of "changed" thinking and feeling bestowed by this nomadic tribe, but I can Sumerize why I cannot endorse Cahill's theme. Gifts of the Jews indeed.

Perhaps the biggest howler of all is Cahill's contention that the Bible encourages individuality. If there is one thing the Holy Book does not encourage it's individuality, individual worth, independent action, independent will. David does not kill Goliath; Yahweh does.

Never mind the obscure stories in the Bible, just concentrate on the outstanding fairy tales. Not my will but thine be done, oh Lord! Does this sound like "individuality?" The zeitgeist of the Bible (Old and New Testaments) is one of groveling guilt and submission. Does this sound like individuality?

Cahill claims the Jews gave us monotheism. So what? Ikhnaton, says Cahill, doesn't deserve credit for monotheism because Pharaoh's attempt to impose it on Egypt was short-lived. Besides, Ikhnaton's monotheism was part of a political fight he was having with the priestly cast he wanted to abolish, even if the priests encouraged the people to build all those pyrotechnic pyramids to house Pharaoh's bandaged and disemboweled body while his spirit is ferried into the spirit world.

Can Cahill be serious when he dismisses Ikhnaton as the originator of monotheism because he had a political quarrel with his priests? Weren't the priests also being political, i.e., protective of their perks? Hasn't religion always been related to politics? Wasn't Jesus condemned because He claimed to be a king? Didn't political claims threaten the pharisaical Pharisees? Didn't Jesus die because the Jews wanted a military messiah, a politician who would free them from the yoke of Rome?

Cahill says the Jews changed the way "everyone thinks and feels." Why not? Who masterminds the media? And who benefited from the change? So shouldn't Jews also take the credit for the mess we're in now? According to the Covenant, Yahweh would protect the Jews so long as they were his slaves. But Yahweh's sheep abandoned him in droves in Germany. They looked (and found) much greener pastures, long ago and far away from that sandy nomad life they had led in the desert. Who wouldn't prefer indoor toilets to droppings on desert sand dunes? Who wouldn't prefer Goethe to goats, Schiller to scorpions and sunstroke, Beethoven to the Bible? O freude! Who wouldn't prefer Oedipus Rex to the Oedipus complex?

Cahill speaks of Christian anti-Semitism. Here he may have a point. What is Cahill's theory of anti-Semitism? Does it have anything to do with Chosenite arrogance, contempt for Christianity, infringement and theft of one's cultural copyright, or is it all just a plain, out-and-out case of Gentile scapegoatism and jealousy? Anything to do with the plunder of Palestine, ancient and modern, thus mocking the notion that might does not make right? Cahill's theory of anti-Semitism deals with none of these. So what is anti-Semitism all about?

Christianity is an offshoot of Judaism, Cahill says, so anti-Semitism is rooted in the hatred of a demanding God. Now there's food for thought. I can see hating a God who commands one to kill his son as a "test"; I can see hating a God who afflicts Job with boils as a "test." (Especially since, as this God is omniscient, surely he must already know how the "test" is going to turn out.

Cahill says he was educated by the Jesuits. I don't believe it. For the one thing that Jesuits stress in their system is logic. And I don't see any evidence of that in Cahill's arguments

The most restrictive form of Catholicism today is still practiced in Ireland, in Cahill's view, all Jews had better steer clear of Dublin, which elected Jewish Robert Briscoe lord mayor in 1956. I wonder if it was anti-Semitism which compelled James Joyce to make the "hero" of *Ulysses* a Jew? How much longer are those recalcitrant Celts going to keep practicing Catholicism before they get it right? Arabs are about one-fifth of the population of Israel now. Do you suppose there will ever be an Arab mayor of Jerusalem?

Cahill says it all comes from a desert tribe whose dreams are all drenched in bloody sheep dip. I wonder about the cause of Cahill's contention. Is it because Greek and then Latin were the universal languages of the Western world for more than a thousand years? Because Latin was the language of intellectual discourse in Europe well into the 18th century, while Hebrew was already so moribund by 250 B.C. that the Bible had to be translated into Alexandrian Greek, so that Hellenized Jews could even read it? Is that why Cahill says that a tribe of desert nomads changed the way everyone thinks and feels?

Indeed why not just the opposite? Why not the case that mad desert nomads (whistling past the graveyard and the ruins of the Western wall) compiled a book packed with myths and legends plagiarized from many lands, a Good Book which boomeranged by dealing an almost deadly blow to the flowering of Western civilization? If Cahill wants to give his fellow-traveling desert nomads credit for anything, why not for stifling science and progress for centuries?

V.S. STINGER

Although the latest Gallup Poll says that 59% of Americans are thumbs down on homosexuality, queerdom is thriving as never before out there in TV land. NBC shows lead the pack with ten gay-lesbian-bisexual characters. ABC and CBS have three each. Showtime has two. HBO and Fox have one.

Many network commentators have been chiding the Starr Report for its salacious content. Not at all nonplused, the networks carry late-night shows of Dave Letterman and Jay Leno running head to head in the porn derby. They also compete with Geraldo, he of the broken beak, who thinks Clinton is a minor divinity, and who loads his show with Tribesmen. Perverts Dick Morris and Marv Albert have been rehabilitated and are shooting off their mouths again.

The Unholy Trinity is back in full force for the fall TV season. There is no limit to the filth Jerry Springer spews out on the airwaves every weekday. Second member of the Trinity is Howard Stern, who is on late Saturday nights. One critic, L. Brent Bozell, compared Stern's material to "week-old garbage." One segment was devoted to the design and operation of a flatulence meter.

There is something dirty about Stern, not just his tongue but his appearance. He looks as if he hadn't washed his greasy black locks since he graduated from high school. Stern's only saving grace is that he libels both conservatives and liberals. Inarguably he is one of the greatest living culture vultures.

CBS, owner of the Stern program, is in turn owned by Westinghouse, a non-Jewish conglomerate. The firm's biggies have put someone called Mel Karmazin in charge of all the company's television business. It was he, needless to say, who hired Stern.

Third member of the Trinity is Roseanne, who is

Roseanne's latest face

back with a daytime talk show that, she claims, is both "inspiring" and "spiritually uplifting." Her first

guest was Whoopi Goldberg, who was asked to give her opinion of the Starr Report. When she went on and on, Roseanne interrupted and told her she was full of offal. Another guest was freakish Dennis Rodman.

The show gave Roseanne the opportunity to display her latest nose job. Every year or so she spends so much time and money on cosmetic surgery that it makes it difficult to know what she really looks like. Truth is, she no longer has a face but a series of masks.

Clutter on Cable TV is defined as commercials, public service announcements, network and station promos, and program credits. As of November 1997 the Weather Channel had 17.26 minutes of clutter per every hour of broadcasting. CNN came in with 13.24 minutes.

A camera sweep of the Democrats on the House Judiciary Committee was a preview of what Congress is going to look like in the coming century. The first speaker on the Democratic side was the ranking minority member, John Conyers, the black racist from Michigan. The second Democratic speaker was Jewish Barney Frank, the Massachusetts queer and erstwhile whoremonger. The third was super-Jew (R-NY) Charles Schumer, who is running against Italian-American, Judeomanic super-panderer Alfonse D'Amato, who wants to be the senator from Zoo City. The fourth was Harold Berman, the darling of the Chosenite Hollywood set.

The Republican members of the committee look fairly human. There were even some Nordics. If genes attract similar genes, one can say more Majority members are joining the Republican ranks; more Democrats the minority ranks. Since white vote split-

ting is one principal cause for Majority political weakness, any ethnic cleansing that drives more voters into the GOP is devoutly to be wished. The shift now going on in the American political scene began with the civil rights movement, but it has not been going on fast enough. One stumbling block is that as the Republican Party gains in strength through racial consolidation, the Democrats grow stronger through immigration, a higher minority birthrate and a lower Majority one.

From Zip 347. I had always contended that *60 Minutes,* was the most egregious example of Jewish self-promotion and propaganda to be found on the boob tube. However, Jews and Jewish issues have so overwhelmed the electronic box that *60 Minutes* now has many serious challengers.

PBS airs the *McLaughlin Show,* which recently had a "panel of experts" plus Patrick Buchanan to expound upon human genetic engineering. The panel consisted of three Jews: Dr. Karen Rothenberg, Director of the Health and Law Program at the University of Maryland; Dr. Lee Silver, author of *Remembering Eden*; and Jeremy Rifkin, author of *The Biotech Century.*

These dark-eyed and bushy-headed members of the Tribe of Judah caused Pat Buchanan's Gaelic brow to furrow more than once. Pat understood their jargon, but was stunned by their hubris. They had an answer for every contingency. All the self-promotion and media sponsorship in the world cannot change the fact that these three can't hold a candle to Ian Wilmut, the Scotsman who cloned Dolly.

Jews from all over frequently get themselves on TV as "experts" in their respective fields, not because of what they have done, but because of Jewish networking and solidarity. It doesn't matter if they are pathetic, obnoxious, and/or perverted. These kosherites have a lock on the TV "expert" file.

The message is this: Jews have the exclusive franchise on knowledge and humanity. They may not be the top people in their field, but TV seeks their opinions as if they were.

From Zip 785. From a Ben Wattenberg TV appearance I learned that the situation in Washington is simple but somewhat complicated, or the situation is complicated but basically simple or it is very complicated but resolvable by simple means.

From Zip 688. An ad touting a rerun of the "Ellen comes out" episode boasts that millions of viewers cheered. Obviously much has changed in the U.S. in the last generation or two. I wonder if some future historian will explain who was responsible for those changes that were obviously imposed from the top down. Who is at that top? Bill Press, co-host of CNN's political slugfest *Crossfire,* was the left-wing chairman of the California Democratic Party. Vehemently opposed to any opposition to communism back at the height of the Cold War, he never met a Sandinista he didn't like, nor a Contra he did. He has a different take on terrorism now, demanding armed intervention in Afghanistan to root out suspected anti-Israel militants. Right-wing *Crossfire* co-host pundit, Robert Novak, has a startlingly different view: our blank-check support of Israel provokes terrorism. We must stop the $3 billion yearly tribute pronto. These are words I never dreamed I'd ever hear on TV!

From Zip 411. CNN had onetime UN Ambassador Andrew Young on camera urging us to pray for Clinton. Should we also pray for the women involved with him? No, explained the black reverend, because, "They allowed themselves to be put in that position, to embarrass the presidency." His female interlocutor could hardly believe her ears, but couldn't get Young to modify one syllable.

From Zip 752. While channel-surfing in my hotel room, I came across an HBO program called *Real Sex.* It was a magazine-type show with all the features that have something to do with kinky aspects of human behavior. Not surprisingly, Jewish names were prominent in the credits. I won't pretend I was so outraged that I changed the channel. After all, a behind-the-scenes look at how dildos are made isn't something you can catch on *20/20.* There was also a feature about a hotel in Oakland (CA) which was a bit more than your run-of-the-mill "clothing-optional" resort. Seems the guests are encouraged to leave the blinds open so the other guests can get a good look at couples in flagrante delicto. What really struck me was a feature on a New York "art" exhibit in which live females engaged in acts of lesbianism. One middle-aged woman, obviously amused by the show, gave it her blessing, but was reluctant to say who she was or what she did for a living. Finally she admitted she was a Presbyterian minister! Hoot mon, whatever happened to the dour Scots of yore!

As my old Scots friend used to say, "People miss some wonderful opportunities to shut up." He was referring to those who just will not let anyone else talk on their gabblefest. They are usually polite, but as soon as the other person opens his mouth, they are off and running again.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

Atrocity propaganda during WWI was mainly of British and French manufacture. The Daily Telegraph published the unfounded allegation that Austro-Hungarians had gassed 700,000 Serbs in lorries with the exhausts fed into the back. By the end of WWI, Jews in America were claiming the extermination of six million Jews, though they were unspecific as to where these alleged atrocities took place.



In *Mein Kampf,* Hitler admired the effectiveness of British propaganda and compared it favourably with ill-conceived German propaganda, which ridiculed the Scots because they wore kilts and must therefore be effeminate. If this nonsense ever reached the Scots, it must surely have encouraged them to be as uneffeminate as possible. (The Romans also wore kilts. Only barbarians wore "trews," or close-fitting trousers, in ancient times.)

At first, British hostility to Germany was not extreme. Some of it was focused on German Jews, who had done well under Bismarck and Kaiser Wilhelm II. One has only to read Theodore Herzl's diaries to realise how much he admired Prussia and hoped for her assistance in grabbing Palestine. In his poem, *Grantchester,* written in Berlin's Café des Westens, Rupert Brooke expresses his disgust with "*temperamentvoll* German Jews" drinking gallons of beer at neighbouring tables.

John Buchan, who later, as Lord Tweedsmuir, became Governor-General of Canada, was less gentlemanly. In his trilogy, *The 39 Steps, Mr. Standfast* and *Greenmantle,* he refers to German women as being "like a lot of cows," and to the German soldier as "the brave, stupid, fighting Hun." Unfortunately, Kaiser Wilhelm himself laid the Germans open to anti-Hun propaganda when he addressed the troops sailing off to help suppress the Boxer Rebellion at the turn of the century. He incited them to behave mercilessly, "like their ancestors, the Huns." Of course, the Huns were not Germanic at all. In fact, the whole point of the mediaeval Ring Cycle is that Attila and his cruel Huns had subdued the Germanic tribes of Eastern Europe. The Kaiser should have known that.

Soon, British propaganda began to fight dirty. A stone angel on the cathedral of Mons was reported as weeping at German frightfulness. (Jesus probably wept, too—at journalistic mendacity!) Then there was the story of the poor little Belgian child whose hand was cut off by a brutal German soldier wearing a spiked Pickelhube helmet. In due course hundreds of little Belgian amputees were reported as crying out for vengeance against the Hun. There was also the story of the captured Canadian being crucified with bayonets, which was a way of inciting the Canadians not to take prisoners. British Liberal MP Arthur Ponsonby, investigating all such horror stories after the war, found no substance in any of them.

The propaganda stories were highly effective in inspiring British troops with the mystique of the bayonet (a weapon less effective than in the past because the Germans had many machine-guns). An old retired soldier I met in a pub in 1963 told me how he remembered an unarmed German station master in the Tanganyika Territory being bayoneted by a Scot with enormous calf muscles.

French propaganda was less effective, because it merely expressed dislike of the Germans. One French journalist denounced them for eating too many sausages and leaving enormous mounds of faeces wherever they went. An artist depicted a large, fat German soldier, with his spiked helmet beside him, lying drunkenly on the family bed in a French house, while the poor housewife and daughter looked on. This was not propaganda of the highest order, especially as the Germans were very short of food because of the British blockade.

Kaiser Wilhelm not only had a sense of humour but a very anglicised one. For one thing, he enjoyed Gilbert and Sullivan's operettas. He was also highly amused before WWI when a layabout in Berlin dressed himself up as a Prussian army captain, found a squad of soldiers in the street and proceeded to rob a bank. He became famous as "The Captain of Kopenick."

During the war, when the British royal family changed its name to Windsor (in order to rid itself of any German taint), Kaiser Wilhelm said: "I shall have to retaliate. In future my court theatre will only play *The Merry Wives of Saxe-Coburg* [instead of *The Merry Wives of Windsor*]." Prince Albert, Queen Victoria's consort, came from the house of Saxe-Coburg. At the Chelsea Barracks of the Household Cavalry in London, there is an enormous portrait of Kaiser Wilhelm on the stairs. He was Honorary Colonel of the Life Guards. Gentlemen don't repudiate their colonel over such a little thing as a world war. Alas, there are very few such gentlemen left in England today.

Elsewhere

Canada. Delegates from 19 nations met in Ottawa last summer to discuss ways to protect their culture from U.S. cultural vulturism. The U.S. was not invited, nor should it have been. However, the villain is not American culture, it is Hollywood's and Wall Street's distortion of it.

Any steps taken by any country to fight or control the cultural excrescences of modern-day America should have the all-out support of Instaurationists. Having lost our culture, we can only retrieve it by regrouping into ethnostates.

During a trip to Moscow, Bobby Hull, the 59-year-old Canadian hockey legend, was quoted as saying that Hitler had some good ideas and that the U.S. Negro population was growing too fast. When he returned to Canada and was asked about his flammable words, he claimed he had been grievously misquoted, that Hitler was "the most evil and despicable person who ever lived," that "no one can dispute the enormous and very positive contribution that the black community has made." Quite a switch! The old saw that sticks and stones may break our bones, but words can never hurt us is definitely passé. In a country mesmerized by minority racism, the microphone has become a deadlier weapon than the sword.

Britain. Anglican bishops, many of them based in Africa, voted 526 to 70 for a resolution that condemned the ordination of homosexuals. At the recent Lambeth Conference, European and U.S. bishops were defeated in their efforts to put off the resolution "for further study." The Right Rev. Eustace Kamanyire of Uganda, speaking for the hardliners, stated, "Homosexual practice is nowhere recognized in scripture. . . .It is an immoral act and therefore a sin." It is interesting that Asian and African bishops are working hard to counter the permissiveness and manic equalitarianism of the white clergy. All in all, the religious Pied Pipers of the "anything goes" crowd were given a sharp comeuppance from their dusky compeers.

The winner of this year's Eurovision song contest held in Birmingham was Dana International, an Israeli known as Yaron Cohen before he/she had a sex change operation.

Switzerland. Having been battered, bamboozled and blackmailed by world Jewry, the Swiss next year will have a new President. It will be a she, Ruth Dreifus, and a Jewess to boot. Jews these days seem to have the Swiss coming and going.

France. *From a subscriber.* In early October, Hans-Joachim Klein, a German terrorist who had been a colleague and an accomplice of the notorious Carlos in a series of crimes, including the kidnapping of the OPEC oil ministers in 1975, was finally arrested in the French Norman village of Ste. Honorine-la-Guillaume. Carlos himself had been arrested by French police in Sudan in 1994. Several prominent French and German "intellectuals," among them André Glucksmann and Daniel Cohn-Bendit (aka Danny the Red), had known of Klein's whereabouts for some time but chose not to tell the police. For the media to refer to such creatures as "intellectuals," when in fact they are nothing more than Com-Symp Jews, is totally dishonest. It brings to mind the insightfulness of the Chinese American Lin Yutang whose essay, "Call Things by Their Right Names," warned that a society that no longer identifies things, events and personalities forthrightly and honestly is a sick society, one so sick that it will not even know what is destroying it.

Germany. When he defined the Holocaust as a "detail of history" several years ago, Jean-Marie Le Pen, leader of France's Front National, sent Jews into a tailspin. When he repeated his statement last December in Munich, the European Parliament, of which he is a member, lifted his immunity, making it possible for him to be prosecuted in Germany. Le Pen argued that some standard histories of WWII had devoted only 10 to 15 lines to the Holocaust. So what else is it, he asked, but a "detail?"

Let us suppose Jews really did cook up some kind of a conspiracy against non-Jews. How could it be exposed? It's against the law in many European lands to criticize Jews even peripherally.

A fairly reputable German newspaper, *Welt am Sonntag,* reports Germany has paid out more than $120 billion to Jews and other victims of the Nazis since the end of WWII.

For having defamed and demeaned Germany in *Schindler's List,* Steven Spielberg was given The Knight Commander's Cross of the Order of Merit, Germany's highest award. U.S. Ambassador to Germany, John Kornblum, another of Clinton's many Jewish appointees, and Israel's Ambassador to Germany, Avi Primor, graced the ceremony with their presence. Spielberg, who shed a few tears during the proceedings, had earlier traveled to a Berlin high school where he presented the students with a CD-ROM loaded with Holocaust propaganda.

Michael Naumann, a German-Jewish refugee who was CEO and President of the Henry Holt publishing firm, has decided to return to the Old Country where he could become Minister of Culture in the new left-wing Schröder government. Ah, how the Chosen flit back and forth across the Atlantic with the greatest of ease.

Czech Republic. Speaking of transatlantic flitting, Czech President Vaclav Havel seriously suggested that if and when U.S. Secretary of State Madeline Albright loses interest in her job, she can move to the Czech Republic (her parents were Czech Jews) and become President when Havel's term has expired.

Vatican. Jews complained vociferously when the Pope beatified Croatian Archbishop Alojzje Stepanac. The Simon Wiesenthal Center called it a "provocation" and "an exercise of historical revisionism." After beatification comes sainthood. Jews believe that Stepanac was "soft" on Hitler in WWII. The Pope, also against the wishes of the Wiesenthal Center, elevated Edith Stein, a Jewish nun who died at Auschwitz, to sainthood. A Jewish cardinal in France, a Jewish President of Switzerland, Jews in the highest echelons of government in the U.S. and Russia, a Jewish saint in a Christian heaven. What's next?

Balkans. Troubleshooter Richard Holbrooke, Clinton's Jewish nominee to be U.S. Ambassador to the UN, is himself in trouble. The Justice Dept. is conducting an ethics probe into Holbrooke's use of the State Dept. to promote his private and racial business. In April, while on a personal business trip to Latvia, he recruited the U.S. Ambassador to that country, Larry Napper (still another Jew?), to deliver official U.S. condolences to the Latvian government for the bombing of a synagogue in Riga. Apparently the U.S. government can be called upon to intervene in the internal affairs of all other nations when Jewish interests are involved. Why doesn't Israel represent the interests of world Jewry? Does the Zionist state pay a fee to the U.S. to represent Jewish inter-

ests? Do American Jews feel that the U.S. is somehow obliged to take the Jewish side in every international dispute?

Serbia. The mess in the Balkans is to be watched, because it is a duplicate of what is happening in the Southwestern U.S. Albanians are the majority in Kosovo, the ancient homeland of the Christian Serbs. Over time the Albanians acquired large stretches of land and pushed out the Serbs. Now they are trying to push out the Belgrade government and either establish an independent state or join a Greater Albania.

If the UN acts to force the Serbs out of Kosovo and validate the Albanian takeover, what will the UN do about the Mexicans in the Southwest U.S.? Will the UN recognize what the Latinos call Aztlan as part of a Greater Mexico?

Russia. *From a subscriber.* Fears that the appointment of Finkelstein, aka Primakov, to head up a new Yeltsin administration will pave the way for Communists to regain control of the country are totally ill-founded. The Reds never relinquished power in the first place. Because of the abject failure and ultimate collapse of the Communist system, the *nomenklatura* simply revamped its economic and political structure.

Proof that Primakov does not threaten Russia's transition to and membership in the New World Order is that his first act as Prime Minister was to summon the most powerful men in the Yeltsin cabinet, the defense and interior ministers as well as the head of the domestic security force, to a meeting where he assured all and sundry that they would be retained in office. On account of the widespread dissatisfaction and privation in Russia, as well as the threat of a *bunt* (mutiny) by the armed forces, the internal security goons are essential to keep the government in power. Primakov has had to recall economic and financial managers from the old regime to try to repair some of the damage done to the Russian economy by the whiz kids from Harvard and their Russian counterparts. It is an act of desperation.

In antiquity pagan Rome was the locus of Western civilization. After Rome fell to the barbarians and Christianity was adopted by Emperor Constantine as the state religion, the center of Western civilization was moved to Constantinople, where it prevailed for a thousand years. After Constantinople fell to the Ottomans, Moscow became the Third Rome and vowed that there would never be a Fourth, that it would remain the permanent center of Western if not world civilization. The Communist regime replaced Christian Orthodoxy with its own messianism, substituting the gospels of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin for those of Matthew, Mark, Luke and John. Until the collapse of communism in 1991, Moscow remained the center of the new utopian faith.

It is now clear, however, that Moscow has indeed been replaced by a Fourth Rome—Washington, D.C. It is now the U.S. that offers the world a universal religion. Neither altogether pagan, atheistic nor Christian, the morality of the new American faith may best be described as secular humanist.

One day, no one can say when, there will be a Fifth Rome, in Beijing or perhaps even in Berlin, which might become the capital of a United Europe extending from the Atlantic to the Urals. When that occurs our posterity will learn whether racial diversity is a blessing or a curse.

Russia is being subjected to the same exploitation and plundering today that Germany suffered under the Weimar government after WWI and by the same people and in the same way. Since the Russians have no hard currency (as was the case with Germans after their defeat), individuals with international connections and legal or illegal access to dollars, are able to buy up much of the wealth of the entire nation. Inflation has largely wiped out whatever assets the Russian people had, just as the inflation in Weimar wiped out the assets of the Germans, leaving their country in the hands of aliens. When Russia has had enough and prosecutes or expels its plunderers, will the latter once again claim persecution?

Communist leader Gennady Zyuganov, who criticized previous Russian cabinets for being controlled by "non-Russians," has changed his tune. He sent Rosh Hashana greetings to Russia's Jewish community. Zyuganov is broadening his base in preparation for his run for President in the year 2000 elections.

Israel. We hear much about nerve gas these days, about Saddam Hussein's huge reserves of Sarin, one drop of which can kill an elephant. We heard a few months ago that U.S. troops used this in the Vietnam War, a news story that turned out to be another CNN-Time Warner whopper. The latest model American warplanes in the Israeli Air Force are being equipped to carry chemical and biological weapons produced in a secret facility near the Israeli town of Nes Zionea. Only a whisper of this got in the American media, compared to the lavishly hyped stories about Saddam's nerve gas arsenal. Also soft-pedaled was the announcement by Israeli Prime Minister Netanyahu that the El Al cargo plane that crashed in Holland in 1992 on the way to Israel was carrying 50 gallons of chemicals needed to manufacture Sarin. The addressee was the Israel Institute for Biological Research. An educated guess is that Israel probably has a thousand times more biological and chemical weapons in underground warehouses than all of its Arab and Muslim neighbors put together.

Ariel Sharon, the fat, pudgy Butcher of Beirut, has been promoted to Foreign Minister. A few days previously he had announced that Israel was determined to murder Khalid Meshal, the leader of the Arab patriot group, Hamas.

In the past ten years more than 1,800 Palestinian homes have been destroyed by the Israelis, leaving more than 10,000 Palestinians homeless. Ninety-three of these homes were blown up during the Rabin-Peres regime.

Jews are all for open-door immigration for the U.S., but the door is not so open for blacks attempting to move to Israel. Elazar Yaisrael, an erstwhile black truck driver from Chicago who formally converted to Judaism in 1989, was held up a few months ago when he arrived at the Tel Aviv Airport with his wife, four children and two grandchildren. After much paper shuffling, Yaisrael was allowed to enter the Promised Land. His family, however, only obtained permission for a short visit.

Iraq. U.S. censorship extends far across the globe. When Iraqi Foreign Minister Mohammed Saeed al-Sahhaf wrote a letter to the UN in which he mentioned that Asst. Secretary of State Martin Indyk is a "known Jew and a Zionist," the U.S. demanded a full apology. That Sahhaf's statement is true makes no difference to America's thoroughly Semitized State Dept. The word "Jew" in U.S. diplomatic parlance can only be used in a favorable and fawning context.